## 1

#### Interpretation: Debaters must not read theoretical justifications for their framework. To clarify, TJFs are bad

#### Violation: they read predictability and topic ed to justify their fw

#### [1] Phil-ed: kills phil ed by forcing a theory debate in framework when we are supposed to be learning about and debating philosophy. That’s an indepedant voter and controls the internal link to other voters because we need a concept of noramtivity to even care about fairness or education.

#### [2] Strat skew: TJFs force me to win on both theory and framework to win framework while you may only debate one, extending the other. Kills fairness since I have to engage on different layers with minimal time.

#### [3] Logic – theoertical justifications are bad bc regardless of whether or not the fw is philosopohically coherent tjfs ensure we apply illogical args for debates which kills education because its bad to learn about untrue things and fairness because disregarding rules of logic make it so that we’re unable to come up with argumentation – independently logic outweighs because it’s a litmus test for what counts as an argument in the first place

#### Fairness voter, a] in reading any argument, you presuppose the judge is evaluating it fairly in the first place, b] debate is a competitive activity that requires fair evaluation

#### Education – it’s the only portable skill in debate

#### DTD – a) Deters future abuse b) Rectifies time loss c) DTA encourages baiting – Debaters could fill their cases w/ abusive args, baiting theory and then just drop the argument in the next speech and go for undercovered substance

#### CI – a) It fosters the best norms through encouraging the fairest rule b) Reasonability collapses by debating the brightline

#### No RVI – a) Illogical – you shouldn’t win for proving that you’re fair or edication because it’s a prima facie burden – crossapply logic outweighs from shell b) It incentivizes you to bait theory and win off a scripted CI c) people will be scared to read theory against good theory debaters and will never be able to check abuse

## 2

Interp: must not read negating affirms

Infinite abuse

## Case