# 1nc

## 1st

#### [A] Interpretation: The aff may not claim that all interps read by the negs are implicit counter-interps and the neg doesn’t get RVIs.

#### [B] Violation: the two underview spikes

#### [C] Standards:

[1] Strat skew: The implicit counterinterp argument incentivizes the aff to be as abusive as possible since the combination of forcing neg has to win both a counterinterp and denying RVIs means I have zero recourse against abusive aff positions. Infinitely abusive because it allows for a chilling effect on legitimate theory – outweighs since negs are pigeonholed into debating under the terms set by the aff since they can’t contest any theory rules. Kills norming and means affs win every round since they could set up absurd rules like “Evaluate the round after the 1AR.”

## 2nd

#### interp: must not say check all neg interps and K links in cx and say that you don’t have to take a stance on anything in cx