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### Framing

#### Contention One is Framing –

**Pleasure and Pain are intrinsically valuable.**

**Moen 16** [Ole Martin Moen, Research Fellow in Philosophy at University of Oslo “An Argument for Hedonism” Journal of Value Inquiry (Springer), 50 (2) 2016: 267–281] SJDI

Let us start by observing, empirically, that **a widely shared judgment about intrinsic value and disvalue is that pleasure is intrinsically valuable and pain is intrinsically disvaluable.** **On virtually any proposed list of intrinsic values and disvalues (we will look at some of them below), pleasure is included among the intrinsic values and pain among the intrinsic disvalues.** This inclusion makes intuitive sense, moreover, for **there is something undeniably good about the way pleasure feels and something undeniably bad about the way pain feels, and neither the goodness of pleasure nor the badness of pain seems to be exhausted by the further effects that these experiences might have.** “Pleasure” and “pain” are here understood inclusively, as encompassing anything hedonically positive and anything hedonically negative.2 **The special value statuses of pleasure and pain are manifested in how we treat these experiences in our everyday reasoning about values.** If you tell me that you are heading for the convenience store, **I might ask: “What for?” This is a reasonable question, for when you go to the convenience store you usually do so**, not merely for the sake of going to the convenience store, but **for the sake of achieving something further that you deem to be valuable.** You might answer, for example: “To buy soda.” This answer makes sense, for soda is a nice thing and you can get it at the convenience store. I might further inquire, however: “What is buying the soda good for?” This further question can also be a reasonable one, for it need not be obvious why you want the soda. You might answer: “Well, I want it for the pleasure of drinking it.” **If I then proceed by asking “But what is the pleasure of drinking the soda good for?” the discussion is likely to reach an awkward end. The reason is that the pleasure is not good for anything further; it is simply that for which going to the convenience store and buying the soda is good.**3 As Aristotle observes**: “We never ask [a man] what his end is in being pleased, because we assume that pleasure is choice worthy in itself.**”4 Presumably, a similar story can be told in the case of pains, for if someone says “This is painful!” we never respond by asking: “And why is that a problem?” We take for granted that if something is painful, we have a sufficient explanation of why it is bad. If we are onto something in our everyday reasoning about values, it seems that **pleasure and pain are both places where we reach the end of the line in matters of value.**

#### That justifies Hedonism – we must aggregate in order to determine how behaviors will be conducted based on what is most pleasurable. Anything else is arbitrary and always allows for exclusions, but aggregation solves because it allows us to determine what behaviors are most likely given relative evaluations of pleasure and pain.

#### Thus, the standard is maximizing expected well-being – prefer:

#### 1] Actor specificity

#### A] Governments must aggregate since every policy benefits some and harms others, which also means side constraints freeze action.

#### 2] **No act-omission distinction—governments are responsible for everything in the public sphere so inaction is implicit authorization of action: they have to yes/no bills, which means everything collapse to aggregation.**

#### 3] Only consequentialism explains degrees of wrongness—if I break a promise to meet up for lunch, that is not as bad as breaking a promise to take a dying person to the hospital via intuitions. Intuitions outweigh—they’re the foundational basis for any argument and theories that contradict our intuitions are most likely false even if we can’t deductively determine why.

#### 4] Prioritize probability.

Kessler 08 (Oliver; April 2008; PhD in IR, professor of sociology at the University of Bielefeld, and professor of history and theory of IR at the Faculty of Arts; Alternatives, Vol. 33, “From Insecurity to Uncertainty: Risk and the Paradox of Security Politics” p. 211-232)

The problem of the second method is that it is very difficult to "calculate" politically unacceptable losses. If the risk of terrorism is defined in traditional terms by probability and potential loss, then the focus on dramatic terror attacks leads to the marginalization of probabilities. The reason is that even the highest degree of improbability becomes irrelevant as the measure of loss goes to infinity.^o The mathematical calculation of the risk of terrorism thus tends to overestimate and to dramatize the danger. This has consequences beyond the actual risk assessment for the formulation and execution of "risk policies": If one factor of the risk calculation approaches infinity (e.g., if a case of nuclear terrorism is envisaged), then there is no balanced measure for antiterrorist efforts, and risk management as a rational endeavor breaks down. Under the historical condition of bipolarity, the "ultimate" threat with nuclear weapons could be balanced by a similar counterthreat, and new equilibria could be achieved, albeit on higher levels of nuclear overkill. Under the new condition of uncertainty, no such rational balancing is possible since knowledge about actors, their motives and capabilities, is largely absent. The second form of security policy that emerges when the deterrence model collapses mirrors the "social probability" approach. It represents a logic of catastrophe. In contrast to risk management framed in line with logical probability theory, the logic of catastrophe does not attempt to provide means of absorbing uncertainty. Rather, it takes uncertainty as constitutive for the logic itself; uncertainty is a crucial precondition for catastrophes. In particular, catastrophes happen at once, without a warning, but with major implications for the world polity. In this category, we find the impact of meteorites. Mars attacks, the tsunami in South East Asia, and 9/11. To conceive of terrorism as catastrophe has consequences for the formulation of an adequate security policy. Since catastrophes hap-pen irrespectively of human activity or inactivity, no political action could possibly prevent them. Of course, there are precautions that can be taken, but the framing of terrorist attack as a catastrophe points to spatial and temporal characteristics that are beyond "rationality." Thus, political decision makers are exempted from the responsibility to provide security—as long as they at least try to preempt an attack. Interestingly enough, 9/11 was framed as catastrophe in various commissions dealing with the question of who was responsible and whether it could have been prevented. This makes clear that under the condition of uncertainty, there are no objective criteria that could serve as an anchor for measuring dangers and assessing the quality of political responses. For ex- ample, as much as one might object to certain measures by the US administration, it is almost impossible to "measure" the success of countermeasures. Of course, there might be a subjective assessment of specific shortcomings or failures, but there is no "common" currency to evaluate them. As a consequence, the framework of the security dilemma fails to capture the basic uncertainties. Pushing the door open for the security paradox, the main problem of security analysis then becomes the question how to integrate dangers in risk assessments and security policies about which simply nothing is known. In the mid 1990s, a Rand study entitled "New Challenges for Defense Planning" addressed this issue arguing that "most striking is the fact that we do not even know who or what will constitute the most serious future threat, "^i In order to cope with this challenge it would be essential, another Rand researcher wrote, to break free from the "tyranny" of plausible scenario planning. The decisive step would be to create "discontinuous scenarios ... in which there is no plausible audit trail or storyline from current events"52 These nonstandard scenarios were later called "wild cards" and became important in the current US strategic discourse. They justified the transformation from a threat-based toward a capability- based defense planning strategy.53 The problem with this kind of risk assessment is, however, that even the most absurd scenarios can gain plausibility. By constructing a chain of potentialities, improbable events are linked and brought into the realm of the possible, if not even the probable. "Although the likelihood of the scenario dwindles with each step, the residual impression is one of plausibility. "54 This so-called Othello effect has been effective in the dawn of the recent war in Iraq. The connection between Saddam Hussein and Al Qaeda that the US government tried to prove was disputed from the very beginning. False evidence was again and again presented and refuted, but this did not prevent the administration from presenting as the main rationale for war the improbable yet possible connection between Iraq and the terrorist network and the improbable yet possible proliferation of an improbable yet possible nuclear weapon into the hands of Bin Laden. As Donald Rumsfeld famously said: "Absence of evidence is not evidence of absence." This sentence indicates that under the condition of genuine uncertainty, different evidence criteria prevail than in situations where security problems can be assessed with relative certainty.

#### 5] Racism is the biggest impact – it makes all violence structurally inevitable and is the basis for all morality. Memmi 2k

Albert Memmi 2k, Professor Emeritus of Sociology @ U of Paris, Naiteire, Racism, Translated by Steve Martinot, p. 163-165

The struggle against racism will be long, difficult, without intermission, without remission, probably never achieved. Yet, for this very reason, it is a struggle to be undertaken withouturcease and without concessions. One cannot be indulgent toward racism; one must not even let the monster in the house, especially not in a mask. To give it merely a foothold means to augment the bestial part in us and in other people, which is to diminish what is human. To accept the racist universe to the slightest degree is to endorse fear, injustice, and violence. It is to accept the persistence of the dark history in which we still largely live. it is to agree that the outsider will always be a possible victim (and which man is not himself an outsider relative to someone else?. Racism illustrates, in sum, the inevitable negativity of the condition of the dominated that is, it illuminates in a certain sense the entire human condition. The anti-racist struggle, difficult though it is, and always in question, is nevertheless one of the prologues to the ultimate passage from animosity to humanity. In that sense, we cannot fail to rise to the racist challenge. However, it remains true that one’s moral conduit only emerges from a choice: one has to want it. It is a choice among other choices, and always debatable in its foundations and its consequences. Let us say, broadly speaking, that the choice to conduct oneself morally is the condition for the establishment of a human order, for which racism is the very negation. This is almost a redundancy. One cannot found a moral order, let alone a legislative order, on racism, because racism signifies the exclusion of the other, and his or her subjection to violence and domination. From an ethical point of view, if one can deploy a little religious language, racism is ‘the truly capital sin. It is not an accident that almost all of humanity’s spiritual traditions counsels respect for the weak, for orphans, widows, or strangers. It is not just a question of theoretical morality and disinterested commandments. Such unanimity in the safeguarding of the other suggests the real utility of such sentiments. All things considered, we have an interest in banishing injustice, because injustice engenders violence and death. Of course, this is debatable. There are those who think that if one is strong enough, the assault on and oppression of others is permissible. Bur no one is ever sure of remaining the strongest. One day, perhaps, the roles will be reversed. All unjust society contains within itself the seeds of its own death. It is probably smarter to treat others with respect so that they treat you with respect. “Recall.” says the Bible, “that you were once a stranger in Egypt,” which means both that you ought to respect the stranger because you were a stranger yourself and that you risk becoming one again someday. It is an ethical and a practical appeal—indeed, it is a contract, however implicit it might be. In short, the refusal of racism is the condition for all theoretical and practical morality because, in the end, the ethical choice commands the political choice, a just society must be a society accepted by all. If this contractual principle is not accepted, then only conflict, violence, and destruction will be our lot. If it is accepted, we can hope someday to live in peace. True, it is a wager, but the stakes are irresistible.

### Adv

#### Contention Two is Healthcare Workers –

#### 1] The right to strike for health care workers is blocked by NLRA Section 8g, which requires 10 days’ notice for strikes, making strikes a conditional right

NLRB [The National Labor Relations Board (NLRB) is comprised of a team of professionals who work to assure fair labor practices and workplace democracy nationwide. Since its creation by Congress in 1935, this small, highly respected, independent Federal agency has had daily impact on the way America's companies, industries and unions conduct business. Agency staff members investigate and remedy unfair labor practices by unions and employers. No date. “The right to strike” <https://www.nlrb.gov/strikes> Accessed 10/27 //gord0]

Strikes unlawful because of misconduct of strikers. Strikers who engage in serious misconduct in the course of a strike may be refused reinstatement to their former jobs. This applies to both economic strikers and unfair labor practice strikers. Serious misconduct has been held to include, among other things, violence and threats of violence. The U.S. Supreme Court has ruled that a “sitdown” strike, when employees simply stay in the plant and refuse to work, thus depriving the owner of property, is not protected by the law. Examples of serious misconduct that could cause the employees involved to lose their right to reinstatement are: Strikers physically blocking persons from entering or leaving a struck plant.Strikers threatening violence against nonstriking employees. Strikers attacking management representatives.

Section 8(g)—Striking or Picketing a Health Care Institution Without Notice. Section 8(g) prohibits a labor organization from engaging in a strike, picketing, or other concerted refusal to work at any health care institution without first giving at least 10 days’ notice in writing to the institution and the Federal Mediation and Conciliation Service.

#### 2] Hospitals are agreeing to no-strike clauses now, and have huge staffing shortages, while simultaneously ruining patient care. Neg arguments concerning “patient abandonment” are rooted in hypocritical neoliberal ideologies. Mercy Hospital proves.

Pappas et al 10/23 [Mike Pappas is an activist and medical doctor working in New York City. Luigi Morris is a freelance photographer, socialist journalist and videographer. He is an activist for immigrants' rights. Olivia Wood is a writer and editor at Left Voice and an adjunct English lecturer in the NYC metropolitan area. October 23, 2021. “Buffalo Healthcare Workers Strike for Better Patient Care and Fair Wages” <https://www.leftvoice.org/buffalo-healthcare-workers-strike-for-better-patient-care-and-fair-wages/> Accessed 10/28 //gord0]

<https://www.leftvoice.org/buffalo-healthcare-workers-strike-for-better-patient-care-and-fair-wages/>

More than 2,000 healthcare workers — including nurses, technicians, clerical workers, and custodians — at Mercy Hospital in Buffalo, New York have been on strike since October 1. Similar to other [healthcare workers around the country](https://www.leftvoice.org/healthcare-workers-are-fed-up-and-hitting-the-picket-lines/), the striking workers’ main demand is improved staffing ratios to allow for safer care for patients. In addition, workers are fighting for better wages to attract more qualified staff, to prevent their health insurance plan from being converted to a high deductible plan, and to prevent their pension plans being converted to a 401(k).

Mercy Hospital is owned by Catholic Health System (CHS), which also owns other hospitals in the area. The striking workers at Mercy Hospital are part of the Communications Workers of America (CWA) union and were originally supposed to strike with two other hospitals, the Sisters of Charity Hospital and Kenmore Mercy Hospital. However, as reported by[Labor Notes](https://labornotes.org/2021/10/two-thousand-hospital-workers-strike-buffalo), “recognizing the potential strength of bargaining together against the chain, Locals 1133 and 1168 sought to coordinate the expiration dates of their contracts and force a master agreement in the last round of negotiations in 2019.” CHS tried to push back against this tactic, and a no-strike clause was eventually agreed to for two out of three of the hospitals, leaving Mercy Hospital as the one location able to strike on behalf of all three.

Although negotiations were supposed to begin in the middle of last year, healthcare workers agreed to delay bargaining during Covid-19 as the hospital claimed to be struggling financially — despite the CEO’s $2 million per year salary. The union healthcare workers agreed to continue working and accepted a temporary contract extension with a raise of just half a percent for the year. But despite these sacrifices, the hospital continues to refuse to give healthcare workers what they need. Hospital management’s proposals do not go nearly far enough: they proposed to add 250 new positions, similar to a proposal made back in 2016, which did nothing to resolve staffing crises.

Management Lies

It is clear that CHS management is trying to frame the ongoing lack of a contract as the fault of the workers and the union itself. In a [recent interview with local press](https://www.wivb.com/catholic-health-hospital-strike/live-catholic-health-ceo-addresses-contract-negotiations-amid-strike/), CHS CEO Mark Sullivan stated he was optimistic a deal would be reached, but “only CWA can end the strike.” Nurses Left Voice spoke with say that when they initially announced the plan to strike, the hospital tried to frame it as workers abandoning patients, putting press releases out to the community.

But healthcare workers know how hypocritical this rhetoric is, and they know they care about their patients more than anyone. Workers put their lives on line throughout the pandemic — the striking healthcare workers created Covid-19 Memorial Walls around each of the picket areas commemorating both those who became ill and those who lost their lives during the pandemic’s peaks — and were called “heroes” when the label could be used as propaganda by management. Workers were even given “healthcare heroes” shirts from CHS, but now, they say they’re seen as “zeroes” and are told they are “abandoning” patients. These claims of abandoning patients are especially ironic because, as one nurse pointed out, not only are these striking healthcare workers the ones who actually care about patient well-being — hence their resistance to the continual drive to cut staffing and costs to increase profits — but the hospital’s CEO, Mark Sullivan, who makes between $1.5-2 million a year, was planning on abandoning contract negotiations midway for vacation to Europe.

It appears the hospital’s rhetoric backfired, as there has been an outpouring of community support, with many residents of the neighborhoods around the hospital putting union signs in their front lawns. Healthcare workers from the two other hospitals not currently striking have been working in solidarity, raising money at Buffalo Bills games and other community events for the union strike fund. Healthcare workers want to get back to work, but they refuse to accept horrible working conditions that lead to poor patient outcomes. Contrary to CHS’s claims, healthcare workers actually care so much about patients that they are willing to strike to see their demands met. They refuse to let the hospital force them into a poor contract that will ultimately threaten the health of patients.

The Hospital System’s Response: Scabs and Security Firms

Those who run hospital firms like CHS know what the threat of striking and winning demands could mean for other hospital systems in the area or the country, so instead of simply meeting the healthcare workers’ demands, they continue to resist. CHS has hired the global parasitic, blood-sucking, anti-strike firm Huffmaster to not just provide scabs, but also provide security. Per their [website](https://huffmaster.com/), “Huffmaster is a master staffing agency for healthcare, security, and other industries. Specializing in rapid strike staffing, we keep business in business.” Huffmaster advertises for job fulfillment and provides housing, travel, and meals for scabs in order to break strikes. As [WNYLaborToday.com reported](https://www.wnylabortoday.com/news/2021/10/04/buffalo-and-western-new-york-labor-news/as-striking-cwa-represented-nurses-continue-to-walk-the-picket-line-outside-south-buffalo-s-mercy-hospital-in-a-battle-over-patient-care-how-can-catholic-health-not-pay-them-but-bring-in-nearly-200-out-of-town-caregivers-at-150-an-hour/), CHS is paying Huffmaster to pay these scabs between $100 and $150 an hour, plus $45 per day for their meals, but they are not willing to pay their regular unionized employees anywhere near as much. Even the pay for the X-ray technicians, one of the higher-paid positions among the striking workers, only reaches $80 per hour — far less than the scabs are being paid.

In their effort to claim the title for one of the worst companies in the world, not only does Huffmaster provide scab healthcare workers, but also violent security personnel. Healthcare workers at Mercy Hospital showed Left Voice reporters video footage and photos of how the security personnel at Mercy are the same security that were hired to help break the [Nabisco strike](https://www.leftvoice.org/nabisco-workers-face-pay-cuts-while-the-company-doubles-its-profits/) and brutally attacked workers. Now there is an injunction from New York State Attorney General Letitia James claiming the company is not licensed to do work in New York State, but as of October 21, Left Voice observed Huffmaster security personnel still on the hospital property, protecting scabs and using fake badges to hide their company logo. In addition to the hired security, Buffalo police were also present and coordinated with the drivers of the scab vehicles.

CHS CEO Trying to Deflect: CEOs Gonna CEO

In the early days of the strike, [CEO Mark Sullivan said](https://www.wivb.com/catholic-health-hospital-strike/live-catholic-health-ceo-addresses-contract-negotiations-amid-strike/) healthcare staffing is a struggle across the nation, not just at Catholic Health: “One in five healthcare workers, since the pandemic has started, has left healthcare. This is not a Mercy Hospital staffing crisis, this is not a Catholic Health staffing crisis, this is a national staffing crisis. Healthcare, overall, is broken.” And he’s right: Healthcare is “broken,” but not because of the workers. Rather, healthcare is broken because under capitalist healthcare, the primary goal is to maximize profit from people’s bodies. Everything else, [including patient care](https://www.leftvoice.org/capitalist-healthcare-killed-my-grandparent-and-hurts-all-elderly/), is secondary. Therefore, under this model, it becomes logical to cut costs whenever possible — for example, by decreasing staffing ratios. Healthcare workers have left the industry because they are tired of working in a system that does not care about patient well-being and continues to put money over lives. They joined their workplaces hoping to help others, but many workers soon find out that the system itself does not hold this priority.

Healthcare is “broken” because the system as it stands was never meant for the maintenance of health for health’s sake — instead, its origins lie in [racism](https://www.leftvoice.org/the-racist-history-of-medical-research/), white supremacy, and [maintaining worker wellbeing just enough to be tools of labor](https://books.google.com/books?id=2MYwMb9hApQC&printsec=frontcover#v=onepage&q&f=false). In some respects it isn’t “broken” but functions just how CEOs like Sullivan — along with the heads of other sectors of the medical industrial complex such as insurance companies, device manufacturers, and pharmaceutical companies — want it to, as they have the main same goal: profit maximization at all cost. This leads them to constantly work to uphold a destructive healthcare system, while the actual maintenance of health and well being remains secondary. Since a CEO like Sullivan can’t say “I am horrible and part of upholding a horrible system,” he must resort to a refrain like “healthcare is broken” to misdirect the public gaze. Executives like to pretend everyone is “on the same team” wanting to care for patients, but this is not the case. It is the healthcare workers who actually care for patients and communities, and CEOs like Sullivan who are a barrier to providing adequate care.

#### 3] Staff shortages are high, and pay inequality among temporary and permanent staff only exacerbates the issue

Hwang 10/19 [Kristen Hwang reports on health care and policy for CalMatters. She is passionate about humanizing data-driven stories and examining the intersection of public health and social justice. Prior to joining CalMatters, Kristen earned a master’s degree in journalism and a master’s degree in public health from UC Berkeley, where she researched water quality in the Central Valley. She has previously worked as a beat reporter for The Desert Sun and a stringer for the New York Times California COVID-19 team. October 19, 2021. “Hospitals brace for strikes as California workers protest staff shortages” [https://www.ivpressonline.com/news/state/hospitals-brace-for-strikes-as-california-workers-protest-staff-shortages/article\_e8023a82-3094-11ec-a2f2-230b2ba54887.html Accessed 10/28](https://www.ivpressonline.com/news/state/hospitals-brace-for-strikes-as-california-workers-protest-staff-shortages/article_e8023a82-3094-11ec-a2f2-230b2ba54887.html%20Accessed%2010/28) //gord0]

Labor advocates are calling it “Striketober.”

As weary health care workers across California enter the 19th month of the pandemic, thousands are walking off the job and onto the picket line, demanding more staffing.

The strikes and rallies threaten to cripple hospital operations that have been inundated by the COVID-19 Delta surge as well as patients seeking long-delayed care.

More than two dozen hospitals across the state — including some Kaiser Permanente and Sutter Health facilities and USC Keck Medicine — have experienced strikes by engineers, janitorial staff, respiratory therapists, nurses, midwives, physical therapists and technicians over the past four months.

This week, nearly a third of all California hospitals reported “critical staffing shortages” to the federal government, with more predicting shortages in the coming week. Hospitals are unable to meet the state’s required staff-to-patient ratios for nurses or schedule adequate numbers of other critical personnel.

In the Central Valley, the region hit hardest by the Delta surge, National Guard medics have been deployed since September to assist area hospitals.

The reason for the shortages? Record patient volumes at the same time that many workers have been driven away from the bedside by burnout, early retirement and the seemingly unending stress of the pandemic.

SEIU-United Healthcare Workers West estimates that about 10 percent of its members — close to 10,000 people — have retired, left the profession, or taken extended leaves of absence during the pandemic.

“What’s really important is that 10 percent doesn’t turn into 15 percent, does not turn into 20 percent. There’s not enough temporary staff out there to fix what’s going on,” said Dave Regan, president of SEIU-UHW.

The shortages are an untenable scenario, unions say — one that has persisted for many years brought to a boiling point by the pandemic.

Since the pandemic began, union grievances with hospitals are increasingly about inadequate staffing, although bargaining over pay remains a key issue.

Money matters when it comes to holding onto workers, they say, especially because temporary staff brought on for pandemic response often make more than regular employees. In some instances, traveling nurses have been paid $10,000 per week at California hospitals with severe staffing needs.

“You’re paying exorbitant amounts for travelers while the existing workforce makes exactly the same amount (as before the pandemic),” Regan said.

**Striking to 'stop the bleeding'**

Early in the pandemic, Gov. Gavin Newsom announced efforts to expand the healthcare workforce through a volunteer health corps. Although tens of thousands signed up, most people didn’t have the necessary medical skills, and only 14 volunteers worked out.

The California Department of Public Health also signed a $500 million contract to help hospitals pay for emergency health care workers like traveling nurses. That contract expired in June.

Unions say those efforts are a Band-aid on a larger problem. Instead, they say policymakers should get hospitals to try harder to retain their current employees.

“Right now, hospitals, the health industry, the state of California, you need to do a lot more so that it doesn’t get worse,” Regan said. “We’re doing very little as a state to support this workforce that has been under a really unique set of pressures.”

In an early attempt to stop the churn, SEIU-UHW sponsored a bill that would have provided hazard pay retention bonuses to health workers. Opposed by the hospital association. the bill stalled before it was voted upon by the Assembly and did not make it to the Senate.

Assemblymember Al Muratsuchi, a Democrat from Torrance who introduced the bill, said the hospitals’ claims that they couldn’t afford hazard pay were unfounded since they received billions in federal pandemic funds, some “specifically earmarked for hazard pay and bonuses for frontline workers.”

“The state made a decision that they were not going to provide financial incentives to recognize and retain healthcare workers, and we think that’s shortsighted,” Regan said.

Over the summer, hundreds of nurses at hospitals, including USC’s Keck Medicine, San Francisco’s Chinese Hospital and Riverside Community Hospital, staged strikes over inadequate staffing and safety concerns.

Now more than 700 hospital engineers employed by Kaiser Permanente facilities in Northern California have been striking for four weeks, demanding higher wages.

In Antioch, more than 350 workers at Sutter Delta ended a week-long strike over inadequate staffing Friday but have yet to reach a contract agreement with their employer.

In the Victor Valley and Roseville, hundreds of workers staged recent rallies and vigils to highlight what they’re calling a “worker crisis.” Advocates say their upcoming schedules are packed with pickets planned in solidarity with other unions.

And perhaps the strongest flexing of union muscle has come in Southern California, where members of the United Nurses Associations of California/Union of Health Care Professionals, or UNAC/UHCP, voted overwhelmingly to approve a strike against Kaiser Permanente if negotiations remain at a standstill. Should a strike materialize in the coming weeks, more than 24,000 members would walk out of the health care giant’s medical centers and clinics in more than a dozen cities.

Although the dollars and cents of bargaining vary from union to union, the common thread is clear: They want employers to “stop the bleeding” of health care workers fleeing the profession and invest more in recruiting and retaining staff.

The union found 72 percent of its members — which includes nurses, occupational and physical therapists, midwives and other medical staff — were struggling with anxiety and burnout, and between 42 percent and 45 percent reported depression and insomnia. About 74 percent said staffing was a primary concern.

#### 4] Not just the US – Kabul is experiencing shortages combined with economic crisis that makes sufficient care impossible

Peshimam 10/26 [Gibran Naiyyar Peshimam, writer for Reuters. October 26, 2021. “In Kabul Children's Hospital, Medics Struggle With Staff Shortages” [https://www.usnews.com/news/world/articles/2021-10-26/in-kabul-childrens-hospital-medics-struggle-with-staff-shortages Accessed 10/28](https://www.usnews.com/news/world/articles/2021-10-26/in-kabul-childrens-hospital-medics-struggle-with-staff-shortages%20Accessed%2010/28) //gord0]

KABUL (Reuters) - In Kabul's main children's hospital, the crumbling of Afghanistan's health system is reflected in the eyes of exhausted staff as they eke out fast-diminishing stocks of medicines.

As crowds of mothers and sick children fill waiting rooms in the Indira Gandhi Children's Hospital, medical staff are squeezing three babies into a single incubator and doubling them up in cot-like infant warmer beds.

Nurses who once took care of three or four babies each are now having to look after 20 or more to make up for the absence of staff who fled the country when the Taliban seized power in August.

"We tell each other that we have do this work, if we don't do it, these problems will become big, it's a loss for ourselves, our society and for our country," said Dr Saifullah Abassin as he moved from bed to bed in the crowded intensive care unit.

Although the number of blast victims and war wounded have fallen since the fighting ended, Afghanistan's hospitals are grappling with the fallout of a rapidly spreading economic crisis that has threatened millions with hunger.

U.N. agencies say as much as 95% of the population does not regularly have enough to eat and last month, the head of the World Health Organisation warned the health system was on the brink of collapse as international aid has dried up.

Lack of support for the $600 million Sehatmandi health service project administered by World Bank, has left thousands of facilities unable to buy supplies and pay salaries, threatening health services at all levels from village clinics to hospitals offering caesarian sections.

STAFF NOT PAID IN MONTHS

For the medical team, it is the acute staff shortage that is causing the heaviest strain. They have not been paid in months and often struggle even to pay their car fare to work.

"We only ask from the government firstly that, they should increase our staff," says Marwa, the nursing supervisor in the nursery ward. "Because of the changes, most of our colleagues left the country."

Nurses who would normally be taking care of three or four babies for each nurse are now handling 23. "It is a lot of load on us," she said.

Mohammad Latif Baher, assistant director of the Indira Gandhi Children's Hospital, said officials from the U.N. children's agency UNICEF have given some help but more is needed quickly to fill the shortage of medicines and supplies to treat malnourished children.

"They (international organisations) have promised more aid. And we hope that they will keep their promises," Baher says.

The hospital, built during the Soviet era in 1985 and financed by Indian aid money, has 360 beds but is operating well over capacity because of the lack of functioning clinics in the provinces around Kabul.

With a heavy flow of families coming in, the hospital has admitted 450 children and turned others away, he said.

Arzoo, who brought her eight-month-old daughter Sofia in for treatment, already lost one of her five children to malnourishment-related illness and is desperate not to lose another.

"We had a water tank at home – we sold that and used that for treatment," she said, even though the cost means Sofia's four siblings at home do not have much to eat.

"Their father came in the morning and told me the kids don't have anything. When they (hospital) provide some food, I portion it out and send some home to the kids."

#### 5] South Africa too – their new HRH strategy reveals worsening leadership which re-affirms staff shortage concerns

Cleary 20 [Kathryn Cleary is a finalist for the 2020 Isu Elihle Awards for child-centred journalism, and is currently working on a series on child hunger and how the Covid-19 pandemic has affected the nutritional status of children in South Africa. September 24, 2020. “South Africa is facing a healthcare worker crisis – thousands more nurses will be needed” <https://www.dailymaverick.co.za/article/2020-09-24-south-africa-is-facing-a-healthcare-worker-crisis-thousands-more-nurses-will-be-needed/> Accessed 10/28 //gord0 \*Brackets in original]

Healthcare workers on the frontlines face many challenges, including a lack of personal protective equipment (PPE), essential medicines and, at times, poor leadership, and governance.

However, South Africa’s new 2030 Human Resources for Health (HRH) strategy, obtained by *Spotlight* and *Maverick Citizen*, reveals that South Africa’s healthcare workforce also faces worsening staff shortages, which will require billions of rands in additional investment to prevent.

Need for more support

“For me, what [the pandemic] revealed in a very stark way, are the failures of leadership and management and adequate support to frontline healthcare workers,” says Professor Helen Schneider, a public health expert from the University of the Western Cape.

“There needs to be a recognition of [systems that support] health workers in dealing with immense challenges in their work; so preventing burnout, debriefing, psychological and social support.”

Health workers experience the system around them, explains Schneider, and if a facility or province has poor managers and leadership, it directly impacts the morale of workers – she points to the Eastern Cape’s infamous Livingstone “hospital of horrors” as an example of this.

“You can see that where there is a really good manager at a facility – with a stable team that has public interest orientation, service oriented and people oriented – the frontline players are motivated, their absenteeism levels are low, they experience job fulfilment.

“It depends a lot on the nature of immediate support to frontline health professionals, but also the extent to which the system as a whole is supportive.”

Adding to this, Schneider says the crisis of access to PPE highlights pre-existing issues with supply chains. “That is just an indicator of a wider set of issues, like chronic shortages of drugs or other kinds of equipment and supplies.”

Speaking to *Spotlight*, Simon Hlungwani, president of the Democratic Nursing Organisation of South Africa (DENOSA), says support for health workers is very poor and stems from both systemic and leadership issues. Questions sent to the national department of about these issues were not responded to by the time of publication.

#### 6] Understaffing harms patient safety and increases mortality rates. Also causes further staff absence because of mental and physical health issues, which results in cyclical staffing shortages.

EMU 19 [Eastern Michigan University. October 10, 2019. “How Nurse Staffing Affects Patient Safety and Satisfaction” <https://online.emich.edu/articles/rnbsn/nurse-staffing-affects-patient-safety-satisfaction.aspx#:~:text=This%20lack%20of%20focus%20can%20lead%20to%20medical,postoperative%20complications%2C%20and%20a%20greater%20number%20of%20falls>. Accessed 10/28 //gord0]

When healthcare facilities have insufficient nurses on staff, the welfare of patients can be compromised. Moreover, overwhelmed nurses could overlook details or not fully engage with patients. This can leave patients feeling dissatisfied with nurse performance.

Why Does Understaffing Occur?

Budget cuts, nurses reaching retirement age and a shortage of nurse faculty to prepare new nurses are just a few reasons for understaffing.

Is There a Link Between Understaffing and Negative Patient Outcomes?

Healthcare facilities that do not keep an adequate number of nurses on duty can jeopardize the safety of their patients. Overworked nurses may suffer from fatigue or burnout which can impair their ability to focus on tasks. This lack of focus can lead to medical errors, a lack of engagement and missed nursing care. Patients in understaffed facilities face an increased rate of in-hospital mortality, a higher risk of infection, a rise in postoperative complications, and a greater number of falls.

How Does Understaffing Affect Nurses?

When a healthcare facility is understaffed, the same amount of work falls to fewer nurses who typically end up working longer hours. Doing so with little to no relief can cause a breakdown in mental, emotional and physical health. Nurses who are sick or injured may be absent from work, which can also compound the staffing problem. In addition, nurses who face constant stress can develop a number of health issues, including anxiety, exhaustion, depression, heart disease, hypertension and musculoskeletal disorders.

Does Inadequate Nurse Staffing Affect Patient Satisfaction?

A scarcity of available nurses can affect patient satisfaction. In a [study](https://bmjopen.bmj.com/content/8/1/e019189) cited by the British Medical Journal, negative patient perceptions of nursing care relate to missed care, which can be a result of a shortage of nursing staff. Patients can also lose confidence in the care they receive when RNs are too rushed to explain medications or coordinate care with other team members.

Why Is Patient Satisfaction Important to the Healthcare Industry?

The healthcare industry is moving toward patient-centered care, so good satisfaction ratings are important. As consumers, patients can boost or damage the reputation of a facility with their opinions. Satisfied patients could become loyal patrons, contributing to the financial stability of a healthcare organization.

What Can Healthcare Organizations Do to Improve Nurse Staffing?

Healthcare organizations need to focus on retaining nurses by maintaining an effective and supportive work environment. The [American Nurses Association](https://www.nursingworld.org/practice-policy/work-environment/nurse-staffing) (ANA) recommends that employers allow RNs to work together to create flexible staffing schedules for their units. ANA suggests that employers should consider these factors when determining nurse staffing:

Condition of patients based on complexity, acuity or stability

Number of discharges, admissions or transfers to the unit

The staff's level of nursing preparation, expertise and skills

Size of the nursing unit

Technical support and additional resources

Given that nurses provide care and safeguard the well-being of patients, it is imperative for employers to keep qualified nurses from exiting the workforce. Nurses who not only have proper nursing preparation but are also empathetic, dedicated and vigilant can help improve patient outcomes and ensure that patients are satisfied with their care.

#### Thus, the Plan: A just government ought to recognize an unconditional right of healthcare workers to strike.

#### 7] The plan solves and break deadlocks from collective bargaining, encouraging employers to reach reasonable agreements because of patient safety

Chima 13 Chima SC. Global medicine: is it ethical or morally justifiable for doctors and other healthcare workers to go on strike? BMC Med Ethics. 2013;14 Suppl 1(Suppl 1):S5. doi: 10.1186/1472-6939-14-S1-S5. Epub 2013 Dec 19. PMID: 24564968; PMCID: PMC3878318. Accessed 11/13 //gord0 \*HCW = Healthcare worker

Background**:**Doctor and healthcare worker (HCW) strikes are a global phenomenon with the potential to negatively impact on the quality of healthcare services and the doctor-patient relationship. Strikes are a legitimate deadlock breaking mechanism employed when labour negotiations have reached an impasse during collective bargaining. Striking doctors usually have a moral dilemma between adherence to the Hippocratic tenets of the medical profession and fiduciary obligation to patients. In such circumstances the ethical principles of respect for autonomy, justice and beneficence all come into conflict, whereby doctors struggle with their role as ordinary employees who are rightfully entitled to a just wage for just work versus their moral obligations to patients and society.

Discussion**:**It has been argued that to deny any group of workers, including "essential workers" the right to strike is akin to enslavement which is ethically and morally indefensible. While HCW strikes occur globally, the impact appears more severe in developing countries challenged by poorer socio-economic circumstances, embedded infrastructural deficiencies, and lack of viable alternative means of obtaining healthcare. These communities appear to satisfy the criteria for vulnerability and may be deserving of special ethical consideration when doctor and HCW strikes are contemplated.

Summary**:**The right to strike is considered a fundamental right whose derogation would be inimical to the proper functioning of employer/employee collective bargaining in democratic societies. Motivations for HCW strikes include the natural pressure to fulfil human needs and the paradigm shift in modern medical practice, from self-employment and benevolent paternalism, to managed healthcare and consumer rights. Minimizing the incidence and impact of HCW strikes will require an ethical approach from all stakeholders, and recognition that all parties have an equal moral obligation to serve the best interests of society. Employers should implement legitimate collective bargaining agreements in a timely manner and high-handed actions such as mass-firing of striking HCWs, or unjustifiable disciplinary action by regulators should be avoided. Minimum service level agreements should be implemented to mitigate the impact of HCW strikes on indigent populations. Striking employees including HCWs should also desist from making unrealistic wage demands which could bankrupt governments/employers or hamper provision of other equally important social services to the general population.

#### 8] No DA’s – emergency duty workers stay

Świątkowski 17 [Andrzej Marian Świątkowski. Polish lawyer, professor of legal sciences, full professor at the Jagiellonian University and the Ignatianum Academy in Krakow, specialist in the field of labor law. Pronounced “Swat-cow-ski”. December 20, 2017. “The Right To Strike in Health Service” [https://www.humanitas.edu.pl/resources/upload/dokumenty/Wydawnictwo/Roczniki%20AiP%20-%20pliki/Podzielone/Roczniki%20AiP%202017%20z2/RAiP\_2\_2017-303-314.pdf Accessed 10/28](https://www.humanitas.edu.pl/resources/upload/dokumenty/Wydawnictwo/Roczniki%20AiP%20-%20pliki/Podzielone/Roczniki%20AiP%202017%20z2/RAiP_2_2017-303-314.pdf%20Accessed%2010/28) //gord0]

SPECIFIC SITUATION OF THE MEDICAL PERSONNEL DURING A STRIKE The discussion on the ban on strike expressed in Art. 19 section 1 of the Act of 23.5.1991 should be illustrated by an example concerning a specific substantive strike of hospital staff - medical staff: doctors, nurses, laboratory technicians.8 In order to assess the situation about the compliance or unlawfulness of a specific strike organized in a hospital, the number of people striking in each of the three mentioned substantive groups of medical personnel is significant. Certainly, the general participation in the strike of all employees belonging to the hospital medical staff would pose a threat to the health or life of patients, because there would not be a single employee in the hospital who would be able to take and carry out the necessary medical activities in the case of an emergency situation. The common practice used by trade unions organizing strikes of medical staff in hospitals is to refrain from performing the work of medical personnel except those who perform “emergency duty” – they are on standby to take the necessary rescue procedures in sudden and unexpected situations. “Emergency duty” is a commonly used technique of caring for health and life of hospital patients on days and hours non-working for medical personnel. Then pre-planned medical procedures are not performed. A hospital is an institution which should guarantee its patients that the obligation of the treatment will be carried out. With reference to the above the organizer of the strike is under the obligation to decide how many employees who belong to the substantive medical staff must be excluded from the planned strike in each of the three above mentioned occupational categories (doctors, nurses, lab technicians) so that the intended strike could be carried out according to the law without endangering the health and life of those treated. The evaluation of this situation may change. The organizer of the strike must reveal flexibility, involving the exclusion from the category of strikers and including in the group a certain number of employees of the medical personnel necessary to enable the management to carry out both scheduled and emergency activities related to the protection of health and life of patients. Most likely for these reasons in the Act of 5.12. 1996 on the professions of a doctor and a dentist9did not include the provisions on the right of doctors to strike. The Code of Medical Ethics passed in 1993, a set of ethical norms not recognized as the provisions of applicable law10 requires the striking doctor to provide the patient with professional assistance in a situation where failure to comply with a moral obligation could endanger health or life. Each physician, both strikers and those who perform work, have a moral duty to care for the well-being of the patient under their care. Doctors, nurses, laboratory technicians, staying with a hospital in an employment relationship or employed there on a different basis than a contract of employment, participating in a legal strike, are obliged to provide the employer with all necessary information about the patient’s situation, so that during their absence at work because of the strike, it was possible to ensure continuity of treatment without undue delay. According to labor law, the employee’s participation in a legal strike is a justified reason for the absence of an employee at work. Only few criminal lawyers share the above view of specialists in the field of labor law11. A different approach to the strike of medical staff is made by other lawyers dealing with criminal law. According to some of them, the striking doctor may be released from responsibility for deterioration of health, serious damage to health, death of the patient under his care after finding that the hospital manager had a real opportunity to provide proper care to patients12. Thus, it is not clear whether the participation of medical staff in a strike organized in accordance with the law is only treated as a case of exercising the right guaranteeing the strikers a release from the obligation to perform work, or also acts as an immunity that protects the doctor from criminal liability.

#### 9] Strikes have already gotten off the ground in certain areas because they’re recognizing leverage, but are prohibited or conditioned in others, making the plan essential.

Al-Arshani 10/23 [Sarah Al-Arshani is a breaking news reporter for Insider. Before joining Insider, Sarah was an editorial intern with The Jordan Times. She graduated from the University of Connecticut in May 2019. October 23, 2021. “Over 500,000 healthcare workers quit in August and thousands more have gone on strike as the industry deals with burnout and staff shortages” [https://www.businessinsider.com/thousands-healthcare-workers-quitting-striking-burnout-grows-staff-shortages2021-10 Accessed 10/28](https://www.businessinsider.com/thousands-healthcare-workers-quitting-striking-burnout-grows-staff-shortages2021-10%20Accessed%2010/28) //gord0]

Over [500,000 healthcare workers quit in August](https://www.bls.gov/news.release/pdf/jolts.pdf), the most recent month figures are available for, and more than two dozen strikes amongst healthcare workers have taken place since the start of the year, according to reports.

A tracker from [Cornell University's School of Industrial and Labor Relations](https://striketracker.ilr.cornell.edu/) found there have been 35 strikes in the Healthcare and Social Assistance industry as of Friday.

Over the past four months, t[housands of workers at more than two dozen hospitals](https://www.businessinsider.com/california-hospital-workers-strike-over-critical-staffing-shortages-2021-10) in California have gone on strike. Earlier this month, close to 31,000 healthcare workers at [Kaiser Permanente voted to authorize a strike over wages.](https://www.businessinsider.com/kaiser-permanente-health-care-workers-vote-to-authorize-strike-2021-10)

Nurses at one hospital in Massachusetts have been on strike since March, [Masslive reported.](https://www.masslive.com/worcester/2021/10/striking-saint-vincent-hospital-nurses-no-longer-entitled-to-unemployment-some-may-have-to-repay-benefits-state-rules.html)

The strikes are occurring during a time of increased demand for patient care and a shortage of workers. In addition to the Delta variant, the US is also facing a rise in chronically ill patients who delayed care during the pandemic, [Politico](https://www.politico.com/news/2021/10/20/hospitals-labor-shortage-covid-delta-516303) reported.

Healthcare workers told Politico that while they know walking out may garner "scorn" from some, they wanted to use the attention they've recieved throughout the pandemic to demand better conditions.

"We're drowning here," Mike Pineda, a senior transport technician at Sutter Delta Medical Center in Antioch, California, told Politico. "The wear and tear on everyone got to the point where people became frustrated."

Jamie Lucas, the Executive Director of the Wisconsin Federation of Nurses and Health Professionals, told the outlet that the reasons to strike have always been there but that some healthcare workers, like many other industries [demanding better conditions across the country](https://www.businessinsider.com/what-is-striketober-kelloggs-john-deere-iatse-strike-labor-2021-10), are realizing they have some leverage.

Throughout the pandemic, healthcare workers have said they're burnt out. In May, Nikki Motta, a travel nurse who spent a year working with COVID-19 patients in understaffed hospitals across the East Coast [told Insider she was experiencing hair loss from the stress.](https://www.businessinsider.com/nurses-are-considering-leaving-the-profession-after-covid-19-pandemic-2021-5)

Liz Evans, another travel nurse, told Insider she was taking care of six patients at a time when in normal times, she might have two at most.

 A March 2021 [Trusted Health online survey](https://uploads-ssl.webflow.com/5c5b66e10b42f155662a8e9e/608304f3b9897b1589b14bee_mental-health-survey-2021.pdf) of over 1,000 travel nurses found that almost half said they were considering leaving the profession. Seven months, later a [ShiftMed survey found 49% of US nurses](https://www.businessinsider.com/nurse-shortage-labor-quit-healthcare-hospital-jobs-employment-shiftmed-survey-2021-10) said they may leave the profession within the next two years. More than 90% of respondents in the ShiftMed survey said staffing shortages were negatively impacting them.

Some of the other factors that have pushed healthcare professionals to consider leaving include the pandemic, low wages, and an increase in workload.

"I really started looking away from bedside over the last year, because the weight was really heavy of what I was doing, and I didn't feel like I was doing the job that I initially signed up for, which is to help people and make people feel better," Motta told Insider in May. "I feel like there are even more and more expectations for nurses, and nurses are the type of people who want to help and who want to do what is asked of them, but I think that is being taken advantage of in a lot of ways."