### 1

#### Interpretation—the aff must disclose at a minimum their advocacy text 30 minutes before the round.

#### Violation—they didn’t – screenshots proveChart Description automatically generated with low confidence

#### Standard is prep and clash—two internal links—a) neg prep—5 minutes of prep is not enough to put together a coherent 1nc or update generics—30 minutes is necessary to learn a little about the affirmative and piece together what 1nc positions apply and cut and research their applications to the affirmative b) aff quality—plan text disclosure discourages cheap shot affs. If the aff isn’t inherent or easily defeated by 20 minutes of research, the case should lose—this will answer the 1ar’s claim about innovation—with 30 minutes of prep, there’s still an incentive to find a new strategic, well justified aff, but no incentive to cut a horrible, incoherent aff that the neg can’t check against the broader literature.

#### Fairness is a voter – speech times, speaker positions, wins and losses, and the fact that they’ve asked you to vote for them prove debate is a game structured around competition. Procedural equity is necessary for the sustainability and value of that game otherwise no one will play – any interpretation that upsets it should lose. Independently, its assessment is inevitable because it’s the logical evaluative structure that undergirds their arguments.

#### Drop the debater—

#### Competing interpretations—

#### No RVIs—