### Th – Disclose Contact Info

#### Interpretation – debaters must have a wiki with contact information specific to the debater who is debating

#### Violation – they have a wiki without anything on it including contact info – picture in the doc to confirm that the violation exists 😊

Graphical user interface, text, application, email

Description automatically generated

#### Standards

#### [1] Safety (which is an independent voting issue)

Having a wiki is key to understand any accessibility concerns like trigger warnings or potentially sensitive topics. Absent a wiki there’s no way to tell – not having contact information makes it worse because there’s no way to verify it preround which kills 1NC strat construction. It also means I can’t see any sort of personal pronouns which encourages misgendering and kills inclusivity - Inclusion is a voter – you can’t debate if you can’t participate and the judge has a prima facia burden

#### [2] Disclosure is good

Contact info is key to know what the aff is – that’s how I can ask you what your aff is – big schools have flows and docs and prep but small schools won’t have access to such resources without things like disclosure– I didn’t get the aff 30 minutes before the round which means err neg on offense

#### [3] Clash

Disclosure leads to the best clash – I get 30 minutes before round to create an in depth 1NC strat which is the most fair to check back against infinite aff prep time – also leads to nuanced debate which is good for education – this means that checking in NSDA campus doesn’t check back because 30 minutes is needed as opposed to like 3 minutes before round which is what happens in the campus room

#### [4] you don’t get to plead ignorance

You literally have a wiki, just with no contact info – you actively decided to not put contact info

Big school arguments are especially true here – valley (where u go) is a huge school that knows what disclosure is

#### Voters

Fairness is a voter since debate is a game and we’re both here to win – debates a competitive activity and fairness is constituve of a competitive activity

Education is a voter – it’s the only terminal impact to debate

DTD on 1nc theory and disclosure – a) disclosure cannot be drop the argument because it would just drop you because you’re the norm b) deterrence

No RVI’s – [a] Forces the 1NC to go all-in on Theory which kills substance education, [b] Encourages Baiting since the 1AC will purposely be abusive, and [c] Illogical – you shouldn’t win for not being abusive.

### Th – Disclose the right doc??

#### Interp – debaters must disclose the right doc which they read in round

#### Violation – their doc isn’t the one they read – cross checks – they also had warrants for eval after the 1AC + if we win one layer affirm – those aren’t in the doc

#### Standards

Destroys debate –

Ableist as all get out –

Hurts my prep which kills clash