
## UDV

#### Interpretation: debaters competing at a TOC bid-distributing tournament must have a wiki page with the same name as the school they compete under in Tabroom

#### Violation: they don’t have a wiki see screenshots

Graphical user interface, application, Word

Description automatically generated



Standards:

#### 1. Safety – contact information is key to communicating before the round about trigger warnings or other accommodations for people like debaters with disabilities, which could cause serious in round violence. That’s an independent voter to inclusion since we can’t have debate unless ppl are included within the space

#### 2. Depth of clash: I can’t learn anything about my opponent before the debate if I can’t contact them. That means we have worse debates since im forced to read generics and couldn’t properly engage with their args – controls the internal link to education since clash is the only unique impact we get from debate.

#### 3. Strat skew - moots my prep time since I don’t get to tailor my strat to them – this violation is egregious because it prevents me from doing pre-tourney prep which skews every round. Controls the internal link to fairness debate is a prep based activity – means it’s structurally impossible for me to come up with a good strat

#### Fairness: it’s constitutive of activities with wins and losses

#### Education – it’s the reason school fund debate and host tournaments

#### DTD – a) deter future abuse and set norms b) my strat has already been skewed

#### CI: a) reasonability arbirtrary and causes judge intervention since we don’t know you abuse meter b) creates a race to the top where we set the best norms for debate

#### No RVIS a) illogical – you don’t win for proving you’re fair b) incentivize baiting theory and prepping it out which leads to abusive practices

## Disability aff accessible formatting

## UDV

#### Interpretation: debaters competing at a TOC bid-distributing tournament must have a wiki page with the same name as the school they compete under in Tabroom

#### Violation: they don’t have a wiki see screenshots

Standards:

#### 1. Safety – contact information is key to communicating before the round about trigger warnings or other accommodations for people like debaters with disabilities, which could cause serious in round violence. That’s an independent voter to inclusion since we can’t have debate unless ppl are included within the space

#### 2. Depth of clash: I can’t learn anything about my opponent before the debate if I can’t contact them. That means we have worse debates since im forced to read generics and couldn’t properly engage with their args – controls the internal link to education since clash is the only unique impact we get from debate.

#### 3. Strat skew - moots my prep time since I don’t get to tailor my strat to them – this violation is egregious because it prevents me from doing pre-tourney prep which skews every round. Controls the internal link to fairness debate is a prep based activity – means it’s structurally impossible for me to come up with a good strat

#### Fairness: it’s constitutive of activities with wins and losses

#### Education – it’s the reason school fund debate and host tournaments

#### DTD – a) deter future abuse and set norms b) my strat has already been skewed

#### CI: a) reasonability arbirtrary and causes judge intervention since we don’t know you abuse meter b) creates a race to the top where we set the best norms for debate

#### No RVIS a) illogical – you don’t win for proving you’re fair b) incentivize baiting theory and prepping it out which leads to abusive practices