# Speech 1NC Strake Rd 1 vs Carnegie Vanguard 12-17 2PM

#### Presumption and permissibility negates – a) more often false than true since I can prove something false in infinite ways b) real world policies require positive justification before being adopted – there’s alwahys an institutional DA to going through Congress c) ought[[1]](#footnote-1) means “moral obligation” so the lack of that obligation means the aff hasn’t fulfilled their burden d) resolved[[2]](#footnote-2) indicates “firmly determined” which means they proactively did something, to negate that means that they aren’t resolved e) permissibility can’t affirm since then anything would be ok which would justify racism – we should be safe and do nothing. f) to negate[[3]](#footnote-3) means to deny the truth of which means if the aff is false you vote neg

#### RVI on spikes/1AC Theory

#### [1] Not having an RVI incentivizes you to read a bunch of blippy underdeveloped spikes in the 1AC as well as a short 1ar shell solely as a time suck scewing my strategy. Strat skew key to equal access to the ballot.

#### [2] Infinite abuse: absent an RVI, the aff can read game over arguments like evaluate the theory debate after the 1ar putting the NC in a doublebind: either I answer them and waste time or concede them and auto lose.

#### [3] Under competing interps we should create the best norms for debate. RVIS encourage debaters to actually test issues, including the spikes you are trying to defend as good norms.

#### [4] Forcing them to go for their interp ensures debaters wont just spam spikes, but instead only preempt genuine abuse, which means A) we spend more rounds on substance and B) people read shorter underviews and more substance.

#### [5] I have already invested a large amount of the 1NC on theory instead of substance, and not having an RVI allows them to completely ignore this flow of the debate and dump on case, making negating impossible.

1. https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/ought [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. https://www.google.com/search?q=resolved+definition&rlz=1C1CHBF\_enUS877US877&oq=resolved+definition&aqs=chrome..69i57.2078j0j7&sourceid=chrome&ie=UTF-8 [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
3. <http://dictionary.reference.com/browse/negate>, <http://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/negate>, <http://www.thefreedictionary.com/negate>, <http://www.vocabulary.com/dictionary/negate>, <http://www.oxforddictionaries.com/definition/english/negate> [↑](#footnote-ref-3)