**AT: Generic**

**Private space appropriation will solve extinction – presume neg**

**Ginsberg 17**

Leah Ginsberg (senior editor covering entrepreneurs, this article is just a summary of a musk interview), June 16 2017, “Elon Musk thinks life on earth will go extinct, and is putting most of his fortune toward colonizing Mars,” CNBC, https://www.cnbc.com/2017/06/16/elon-musk-colonize-mars-before-extinction-event-on-earth.html, // HW AW

If we stay on earth forever, “there will be some eventual extinction event,” says Elon Musk in an article published in academic [journal New Space](http://online.liebertpub.com/doi/full/10.1089/space.2017.29009.emu). In it, Musk says the alternative to this doomsday is for humans to become a multi-planetary species. He says Mars is the place to do it. Venus is a “hot acid bath,” says Musk in the article, which summarizes a speech he gave in Sept. of 2016. Mercury is too close to the sun. The moon is too small, has no atmosphere and not as “resource-rich.” Speaking like the entrepreneur he is, Musk says, Mars is better-suited “to scale up” to be a self-sustaining civilization. ″[I]f we could warm Mars up,” says Musk, which he believes is doable, “we would once again have a thick atmosphere and liquid oceans.” Mars also has enough sunlight and an atmosphere in which, with some tweaking, it would be possible to grow plants. 1:29 SpaceX’s Elon Musk’s bold ambition to colonize Mars Musk also says, “It would be quite fun to be on Mars because you would have gravity that is about 37 percent of that of Earth, so you would be able to lift heavy things and bound around.” The key, says Musk is his company SpaceX creating systems that make the move to Mars affordable – comparable to the median house price in the U.S. is the goal. Currently, Musk estimates trips to Mars would cost about $140,000 per ton (taking into account transporting things like luggage, food and life support). But he believes the cost could potentially drop to below $100,000 a ton. Musk sees a future where people would save up for a move to Mars like they do a home. “People could also get sponsorship. It gets to the point where almost anyone, if they saved up and this was their goal, could buy a ticket and move to Mars — and given that Mars would have a labor shortage for a long time, jobs would not be in short supply,” he says. Ultimately, says Musk, funding this will be a joint effort between private and government resources. “As we show that this is possible and that this dream is real—it is not just a dream, it is something that can be made real—the support will snowball over time,” says Musk. “I should also add that the main reason I am personally accumulating assets is in order to fund this,” says Musk of his wealth. “I really do not have any other motivation for personally accumulating assets except to be able to make the biggest contribution I can to making life multi-planetary.” Just 15 years ago, “SpaceX basically consisted of carpet and a mariachi band,” says Musk. “We were basically clueless.” Now, he believes SpaceX will have a spaceship by about 2020, with which it can start doing suborbital flights. That would also enable the transport of cargo “to anywhere on Earth in 45 minutes at the most,” he says. “Most places on Earth would be 20–25 minutes away. If we had a floating platform off the coast of New York, 20–30 miles out, you could go from New York to Tokyo in 25 minutes and across the Atlantic in 10 minutes,” he says. “If things go super-well, it might be in the 10-year time frame,” to Mars, says Musk. “But I do not want to say that is when it will occur. There is a huge amount of risk. It is going to cost a lot. There is a good chance we will not succeed, but we are going to do our best and try to make as much progress as possible.”

**Public and private companies must work together to overcome blockages that each industry face, only together can the process be expedited**

**Houser 17**(Kristin Houser is a writer for Futurism , where she covers science and tech. Her written work has appeared in Business Insider, NBC News, and the World Economic Forum’s Agenda, among other publications https://futurism.com/private-companies-not-governments-are-shaping-the-future-of-space-exploration) //HWLND

Private companies may be in the lead, but the finish line for this Space Race isn’t exactly clear. The first iteration was arguably “won” when Neil Armstrong took his first steps on the Moon, so does this sequel end when we establish the first Moon base? When a human walks on Mars? When we leave the solar system? Truthfully, the likelihood of humanity ever calling it a day on space exploration is slim to none. **The universe is huge, with galaxy estimates in the trillions, so the goalpost will continue moving back (to bring another sport into the analogy**). **Rather than focusing on competing in what is ultimately an unwinnable race, private and government-backed space agencies can actually benefit from collaboration thanks to their inherent differences.** “The way that SpaceX, Planetary Resources, or Virgin Galactic approaches space exploration is going to be very different from NASA or the Air Force,” explains Lewicki. Private companies aren’t beholden to the same slow processes that often stall government projects, and they can secure or reallocate funding much more swiftly if need be. However, unlike agencies like NASA, they do have shareholders to keep happy and a need to constantly pursue profitability. **The two sectors, therefore, have a tremendous opportunity to help one another**. **Private companies can generate revenue through government contracts —for example, NASA has contracted Boeing to transport astronauts to the International Space Station (ISS), and SpaceX just closed a deal with the U.S. Air Force to launch its secretive space drone.**This leaves the government agencies free to pursue the kind of forward-thinking, longer-term research that might not immediately generate revenue, but that can be later streamlined and improved upon in the private sector.

**Private entities are uniquely crucial to space exploration especially since governments are no longer interested after the space race**

**Deb 18** [Sandipan Deb is an Indian journalist and writer. He has been the Managing Editor of Outlook, the Editor of The Financial Express and was the founder-editor of Outlook Money, Open, and Swarajya magazines. He is the author of several books. “Space, the next frontier for capitalism.” Mint. March 13, 2018. <https://www.livemint.com/Opinion/NPClPMlOIIAbnwToBO0QiO/Space-the-next-frontier-for-capitalism.html>] HW AL

Jeff Bezos, the richest man on earth, has said that he has been funding his space technology firm Blue Origin at the rate of $1 billion a year and will continue to pump in his “Amazon lottery winnings into a much lower price of admission so we can go explore the solar system." He can afford it — with a net worth of $131 billion, he is richer than two-thirds of the countries of the world. And, along with Elon Musk, the founder of SpaceX, he is the face of the next giant leap of capitalism — into space. Science fiction predicted most of humanity’s technological advancements — from submarines to television, from rockets to robots. But even the most clairvoyant of sci-fi authors failed to foresee that **planet earth would lose interest in manned space exploration after putting a man on the moon.** The space race of the 1950s and 1960s had a grandiose political purpose. **When that battle had been settled, placing communication satellites in orbit became by far the major activity.** Yes, space shuttles were launched, an International Space Station (ISS) is up there, but this was hardly space exploration. The US National Aeronautics and Space Administration’s (NASA) budget, in constant 2014 dollar terms, peaked at $43.6 billion in 1966; it was $18.9 billion in 2017. There were huge potential pay-offs — the obvious one being mining minerals on asteroids and other planets, **but to governments, the returns on investments were too far-off to commit the massive upfront cash outlays.** And thus it stayed for 40 years, till a new breed of capitalists emerged — whose dreams sought frontiers beyond earth. “Our planet is finite," Bezos has said. The turning point was the retirement of the space shuttle in 2011. As a result, NASA awarded billions of dollars of contracts to private companies to carry astronauts and cargo to the ISS. **The industry suddenly bloomed; there are more than a thousand space companies in the US today.** Investment bank Goldman Sachs estimates that space start-ups have, globally, attracted $13.3 billion of investment since 2010. In 2015, President Barack Obama signed the US Commercial Space Launch Competitive Act into law, guaranteeing private companies rights to own, sell and profit from resources extracted from asteroids and other “celestial bodies". In August 2017, Luxembourg became the first European country that officially allows space resources to be “appropriated" by commercial groups based in the country. Many companies have since then set up shop in Luxembourg. Bezos’ Blue Origin has successfully launched and landed several sub-orbital flights. In February this year, SpaceX launched Falcon Heavy into orbit around the sun. The company is aiming to have manned flights by the end of the year, and says that Big Falcon Rocket (BFR), its spaceship for interplanetary travel that may carry up to 100 passengers, will be ready in 2019. Meanwhile, Bigelow Aerospace, owned by Robert Bigelow, who made his billions from his budget hotel chain, plans to set up hotels that will orbit earth. Among start-ups that are focused on space mining, Planetary Resources points out that just one little near-earth asteroid called 3554 Anum has $8 trillion worth of platinum reserves, while our current annual output is $12 billion, of which 88% comes from three mines in South Africa.

**Private companies are k2 future space exploration—lower costs, fewer accidents, and frees up government funds for more important space research.**

**Sharma 21**

Maanas Sharma, research scholar @ Tezpur University, 9-7-2021, "The Space Review: The privatized frontier: the ethical implications and role of private companies in space exploration," The Space Review, <https://www.thespacereview.com/article/4238/1> //MLT

In recent years, **private companies have** taken on a larger role in the space exploration system. With **lower costs and faster production times**, they have displaced some functions of government space agencies. Though many have levied criticism against privatized space exploration, it also allows room for more altruistic actions by government space agencies and the benefits from increased space exploration as a whole. Thus, we should encourage this development, as the process is net ethical in the end. Especially if performed in conjunction with adequate government action on the topic, private space exploration can overcome possible shortcomings in its risky and capitalistic nature and ensure a positive contribution to the general public on Earth. The implications of commercial space exploration have been thrust into the limelight with the successes and failures of billionaire Elon Musk’s company SpaceX. While private companies are not new to space exploration, their prominence in American space exploration efforts has increased rapidly in recent years, fueled by technological innovations, reductions in cost, and readily available funding from government and private sources.[1] In May 2020, SpaceX brought American astronauts to space from American soil for the first time in almost 10 years.[2] Recognizing the greatly reduced costs of space exploration in private companies, NASA’s budget has shifted to significantly relying on private companies.[3] However, private space companies are unique from government space agencies in the way they experience unique sets of market pressures that influence their decision-making process. Hence, the expansion of private control in the space sector turns into a multifaceted contestation of its ethicality. The most obvious ethical concern is the loss of human life. Critics contend that companies must answer to their shareholders and justify their profits. This contributes to a larger overall psyche that prioritizes cost and speed above all else, resulting in significantly increased risks.[4] However, **the possible increase in mishaps is largely overstated. Companies recognize the need for safety aboard their expeditions** themselves.[5] After all, the potential backlash from a mishap could destroy the company’s reputation and significantly harm their prospects. According to Dr. Nayef Al-Rodhan, Head of the Geneva Centre for Security Policy’s Geopolitics and Global Futures Programme, “because there were no alternatives to government space programs, accidents were seen to some degree as par for the course… By comparison, private companies actually have a far more difficult set of issues to face in the case of a mishap. In a worst case scenario, a private company could make an easy scapegoat.” [6] Another large ethical concern is the prominence capitalism may have in the future of private space exploration and the impacts thereof. The growth of private space companies in recent years has been closely intertwined with capitalism. Companies have largely focused on the most profitable projects, such as space travel and the business of space.[7] Many companies are funded by individual billionaires, such as dearMoon, SpaceX’s upcoming mission to the Moon.[8] Congress has also passed multiple acts for the purpose of reducing regulations on private space companies and securing private access to space. From this, many immediately jump to the conclusion that capitalism in space will recreate the same conditions in outer space that plague Earth today, especially with the increasing push to create a “space-for-space” economy, such as space tourism and new technologies to mine the Moon and asteroids. Critics, such as Jordan Pearson of VICE, believe that promises of “virtually unlimited resources” are only for the rich, and will perpetuate the growing wealth inequality that plagues the world today.[9] However, others contend that just because private space exploration has some capitalist elements, it is by no means an embodiment of unrestricted capitalism. A healthy balance of **restricted capitalism**—for example, private space companies working through contracts with government agencies or independently under monitoring and regulation by national and international agreements—**will avoid the pitfalls that capitalist colonialism faced down here on Earth**. Even those who are generally against excessive government regulation should see the benefits of them in space. Lacking any consensus on definitions and rights in space will create undue competition between corporations as well as governments that will harm everyone rather than helping anyone. To create a conducive environment for new space-for-space exploration, one without confrontation but with protection for corporate astronauts, infrastructure, and other interests, governments must create key policies such as a framework for property rights on asteroids, the Moon, and Mars.[7,10] Another key matter to note is restricted capitalism in space “could also be our salvation.”[11] Private space exploration could reap increased access to resources and other benefits that can be used to solve the very problems on Earth that critics of capitalism identify. Since governments offset some of their projects to private companies, government agencies can focus on altruistic projects that otherwise would not fit in the budget before and do not have the immediate commercial use that private companies look for. Scott Hubbard, an adjunct professor of aeronautics and astronautics at Stanford University, discusses how “this strategy allows the space agency to continue ‘exploring the fringe where there really is no business case’” but still has important impacts on people down on Earth.[12] Indeed, this idea is a particularly powerful one when considering the ideal future of private companies in space exploration. Though there is no one set way governments will interact with companies, the consensus is that they must radically reimagine their main purpose as the role of private space exploration continues to grow. As governments utilize services from private space companies, “[i]nstead of being bogged down by the routine application of old research, **NASA can prioritize their limited budget to work more on**research of other unknowns and development of new long-term space travel technologies.”[13] According to the Council on Foreign Relations, such technologies have far-reaching benefits on Earth as well. Past developments obviously include communications satellites, by themselves a massive benefit to society, but also “refinements in artificial hearts; improved mammograms; and laser eye surgery… thermoelectric coolers for microchips; high-temperature lubricants; and a means for mass-producing carbon nanotubes, a material with significant engineering potential; [and h]ousehold products.”[2] Agencies like NASA are the only actors able to pursue the next game-changing missions, “where the profit motive is not as evident and where the barriers to entry are still too high for the private sector to really make a compelling business case.”[8] These technologies have revolutionized millions, if not billions, of lives, demonstrating the remarkable benefits of space exploration. It follows then that it is net ethical to prioritize these benefits. This report concludes that the private sector, indeed, has a prominent role to play in the future of space exploration. Further, though private space exploration does bring the potential of increased danger and the colonization of space, these concerns can be effectively mitigated. Namely, strong government frameworks—particularly international ones—will minimize possible sources of ethical violations and ensure an optimal private sector role in space. This also allows government agencies to complete significantly more difficult, innovative projects which have transformative benefits for life on Earth.

**AT: Asteroid Mining**

**Asteroid mining enables space colonization – even if Earth species goes extinct, we can escape if we mine asteroids**

**Ravisetti 21**

Monisha Ravisetti (science writer @ CNET BA in philosophy NYU), 10-4-2021, "Rare asteroids near Earth may contain precious metals worth $11.65 trillion," CNET, https://www.cnet.com/news/rare-asteroids-near-earth-may-become-targets-for-space-mining/, // HW AW

Scientists just calculated that one of two metallic asteroids floating in Earth's vicinity may contain precious metals worth about $11.65 trillion. The expensive nugget, in fact, could boast more iron, nickel and cobalt than the entirety of our global metal reserves. Called metal-rich near-Earth asteroids, these rare, hefty mineral deposits measure over a mile wide. The one reckoned to be a metal motherlode is labeled 1986 DA, and the other, 2016 ED85. The duo "could be possible targets for asteroid mining in the future," according to the [new analysis published Friday](https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.3847/PSJ/ac235f) in The Planetary Science Journal. Space mining has gained traction in the scientific community because experts believe the feat could provide [cost-effective metals](https://science.howstuffworks.com/asteroid-mining.htm) for a lunar or Mars-based colony, ultimately extending humanity's reach in exploring space. With a cosmic mine, building materials wouldn't have to withstand the expensive shuttle from Earth to space. Further, the team behind the math suggests these unique floating orbs may shed much-needed light on the authenticity of another metallic treasure NASA is [headed to in 2022](https://www.jpl.nasa.gov/missions/psyche) -- the mysterious shiny space globe known as 16 Psyche. 16 Psyche has its own allure for space mining enthusiasts. An artist's illustration shows what asteroid 16 Psyche might look like. Maxar/ASU/P.Rubin/NASA/JPL-Caltech Instead of trees, oceans or stretches of soil, the bizarre body is thought to consist of hills and valleys made of pure metal. Scientists contend it's the remaining core of an ancient rocky planet that was once destroyed. Interestingly, Earth's covered-up core looks awfully similar. Aptly dubbed "mini Psyches," the valuable smaller asteroids described in the new study are presumably pieces that have broken off from a similar naked center, though the research team notes they don't think these fragments are offshoots of 16 Psyche in particular. Still, 16 Psyche has become a rather hot topic of discussion among [scientists](https://earthsky.org/space/asteroid-psyche-metal-or-rubble-pile/) and even the [public](https://www.forbes.com/sites/jamiecartereurope/2020/12/05/a-bizarre-trillion-dollar-asteroid-worth-more-than-our-planet-is-now-aligned-with-the-earth-and-sun/?sh=689f08431c9a) -- it's suspected to hold minerals worth $10,000 quadrillion. Let that sink in. The exorbitant figure, however, has generated [considerable doubt](https://www.cnet.com/news/10000-quadrillion-asteroid-psyche-may-not-be-as-valuable-as-first-thought/) because scientists can't be sure what 16 Psyche is made of until a spacecraft inspects it. It's too far away for precise spectrum analysis, a scientific method that leverages electromagnetic emission and absorption signals to learn about objects' compositions. Until such an examination can happen, something NASA's mission intends to perform, researchers have to consider the option that it's merely some sort of rubble. That's what makes data from the "mini Psyches" indispensable -- they may offer a first look at their namesake's features. Proximity to our home planet deems it much easier for scientists to capture the rocks' spectral info from Earth. "It is rewarding that we have discovered these 'mini Psyches' so close to the Earth," Vishnu Reddy, associate professor at the University of Arizona's Lunar and Planetary Laboratory and principal investigator of the NASA grant that funded the work, said [in a statement](https://www.eurekalert.org/news-releases/930288). Sifting through the collected data, researchers found the orbiting blocks are made of 85% metal, such as iron and nickel, and only 15% silicate, which is basically regular rock. As such, some ambiguity about 16 Psyche might soon be alleviated thanks to the baby versions of it -- including whether it'll add to the crew of treasure troves for future space miners. Regardless, while the trio of metallic hunks definitely seem to hint at our sci-fi fantasies of space mining inching toward reality, one thing is absolutely certain: They're a pretty hard-core squad.

**Asteroid mining is critical to future survival – it can fund more space exploration, eliminate resource scarcity, build space cities to house millions, and manufacture organs to save lives**

**Elvis 21** [Martin Elvis is a senior astrophysicist at the Center for Astrophysics | Harvard & Smithsonian. He is the author of Asteroids: How Love, Fear, and Greed Will Determine Our Future in Space (2021). “Riches in space.” Aeon. July 2, 2021. <https://aeon.co/essays/asteroid-mining-could-pay-for-space-exploration-and-adventure>] HW AL

Asteroids are the remnants of our solar system’s youthful exuberance, the leftover crumbs from when the planets formed. For much of the space age, asteroids were ignored in favour of the far more glamorous planets, and the Moon. The asteroids – dark, misshapen rocks, hard to see and hard to find – have long flown beneath our notice. But that was a mistake. They have a crucial role to play in the future of our species – in fact, the survival and flourishing of humanity are tied up with asteroids. There are three reasons. They bear messages from the beginnings of the solar system, before our Earth came into being, and how we got here matters to where we’re going. They are also hoards of resources that might lead us to a future without scarcity. And last – a minor detail – a single asteroid could wipe us off the face of our planet. Let’s look at each in turn. Asteroids are the remnants of collisions between some of the first mini-planets (called ‘planetesimals’) that formed in abundance when the solar system was no older than a few million years. As a result, many asteroids are just piles of broken rubble held together by their own weak gravity, about a million times more feeble than the gravity we feel here on Earth. Untangling the eventful history of the solar system is easier with asteroids because they’re unsullied envoys from those turbulent early times. Unlike the planets, nothing much has happened to the asteroids in the past few billion years. And there are millions of them, the vast majority orbiting the Sun between Mars and Jupiter in a band called the ‘Main Belt’. An animation depicts a mapping of the positions of known near-Earth objects at points in time over the past 20 years, and finishes with a map of all known asteroids as of January 2018. Courtesy of JPL/NASA Perhaps 10,000 asteroids the size of sports stadiums are on orbits that swing close to Earth. As the dinosaurs would attest, our planet occasionally gets hit. But the results aren’t always a bad thing: it’s looking likely that Earth’s oceans were filled by water brought by asteroids. Along with water, asteroids might even have brought the ingredients of life to Earth in the form of so-called ‘prebiotic’ molecules, including amino acids and, as recently found, components of proteins and sugars. Learning more about asteroids means learning more about our origins. What can we actually do with asteroids? That brings us to my favourite thing about them: their resources. Being an idealistic astrophysicist, my interest is in the money to be made from them. That really is idealistic because, if we can make a profit mining the asteroids, then doing bigger things in space will become a lot cheaper. Capitalism has its faults, but one thing it does well is to make things cheaper. I want to use it as a tool so that we can build far bigger telescopes than we could practically realise today. What do astronomers want? More light! Bigger telescopes! Asteroid mining could make that dream a reality. The siren call of asteroids for miners is that the Main Belt asteroids contain vast amounts of resources. The iron found in asteroids adds up to some 10 million times the iron that we have in proven reserves on Earth. That’s a lot. It’s enough to build many rings of iron girders all the way around Earth’s orbit, along the lines of the science fiction novel Ringworld (1970) by Larry Niven. Not that a ringworld is a sensible thing to make, but it is a really big ring. More plausibly, with that much iron we could build cities in space, as envisaged by the physicist Gerard K O’Neill in the 1970s. Each of these cities would be big enough for a million people to live in. They would be rotating cylinders, and as a citizen of one you would be walking around inside the cylinder’s surface, feeling a fake gravity from the centrifugal force. That’s the scale of resources we’re talking about. These vast material supplies could make for an era that people call ‘post-scarcity’, where there’s plenty for everyone, just as there is in the 23rd century of the Star Trek science fiction franchise. The starship crew on Star Trek don’t work to keep themselves fed and housed, that’s taken for granted. They work for adventure and exploration. Asteroid wealth could help all of us take a step towards that happy state. The problem is how to get started. Iron in space is not going to make for giant profits in the short run. On the ground, it sells for less than $200 a ton. It would be worth more in space, but unfortunately there’s no one to buy huge tonnages of iron in space. To adapt the tagline from the Alien movies – ‘In space, no one can hear you sell.’ It certainly isn’t worth bringing space iron back to Earth since the cost of doing so would far exceed the price it could command. Starting to mine space for resources will have to begin with something so valuable that the cost of obtaining it in space is small by comparison. For now, the best bets are precious metals and – surprise – water. Precious metals are obvious. Platinum sells for about $33.5 million a ton, and we know from meteorites that some asteroids are richer in platinum than any mine on Earth. That sounds promising. Platinum sales run at about 200 tons, or billions of dollars, per year. The bad news is that ‘richer than any mine on Earth’ is still concentrations of just tens of grams per ton, and extracting those precious grams isn’t easy. We can’t just bring an asteroid near to Earth to start extracting the platinum where we can have heavy machinery to work on it. That would take way too much fuel because, to carry more mass, rockets have to carry exponentially more fuel; unlike airplanes, they don’t get the oxygen for free from their surroundings, they have to pull it along with them. Any refining of platinum will have to be done robotically out in the native orbit of the asteroid. That’s quite a challenge. Water is a less obvious money-maker. The surprise is that water is also worth millions per ton – if it’s sold in space. Water in space is really useful. It’s good for drinking, and the oxygen in it is good for breathing. You can split the hydrogen from the oxygen in H2O and you’ve got rocket fuel, and water is good at absorbing radiation to protect people from cancer-causing cosmic rays. So, in principle, water in orbit is pretty valuable. The good news is that up to 10 per cent of a water-rich asteroid can be water. It won’t be simple ice, most likely, but will be bound into clays and other rocks. Even better, water is much easier to extract than precious metals. Simply heating up the rock will release water that can then be captured. How much is space water worth? Until recently, it cost $20 million to get a ton of water into even a low orbit – say, to the International Space Station (ISS). To get a ton of water to a high orbit, like the 24-hour orbit of TV transmitting satellites, would cost about three times as much. SpaceX has started to cut that cost; for now, it’s charging about $3 million a ton to a low orbit on a Falcon 9 rocket. Water from asteroids might be able to compete with those prices and still return a nice profit. But the bad news is that, right now, there’s no one in space who wants to buy water. At least not yet. That might be about to change. We won’t get to build cities in space unless we can build simpler space stations first, and do so at an affordable cost that can scale. If we have space stations, they will need supplies, especially of water and perhaps construction materials. That demand could create a business delivering these supplies from space instead of from Earth. In this case, the asteroids would have the most to offer. So space stations – particularly commercial space stations – are the key to acquiring asteroid resources. Why build space stations? There are three primary uses: research, manufacturing and tourism. Research has always been done on the ISS, but facilities and time have been in short supply. In recent years, the equipment has improved a lot, but astronaut time is still scarce. Each astronaut has to look after multiple experiments. Multitalented and smart as the astronauts all are, they simply can’t have all the experience of the scientists whose experiments they’re operating. A lot of effort goes into automating those experiments so that the astronauts aren’t overwhelmed. It would be far more efficient if the scientists who invent the experiments also get to be the ones who carry them out in space. Then their years of experience could be put to good use operating and watching over their studies. Spotting subtle anomalies that could be a sign of a failure, or of a discovery, is much better done in person by experts. But, until now, scientists didn’t have that opportunity, and they would have likely declined it if offered the chance. That’s because training for a mission to the ISS takes more than two years full-time and requires learning Russian. If you take two years off from doing your research, then you’re no longer at the forefront and you’ll have lost your edge. Few top scientists would risk that, however much fun it might be to float in space. We scientists live for our research. Fortunately, the new commercial stations will be much easier to train for, taking a couple of months or so, because they’ll have a single manufacturer with consistent, uniform interfaces, and a separate professional crew to deal with maintenance and emergencies. The companies with advanced plans so far are all US-based, so English will be the language used. As English is the lingua franca of science, it poses little challenge to scientists worldwide. Manufacturing in space has always seemed like a fool’s errand. Whatever you make out there would have to be worth outrageous amounts to cover the shipping costs back to Earth. Now, though, those costs have come down almost 10-fold, with more reductions promised. As a result, a few items do pass that test. Already, there are first tests taking place on the ISS to see if the advantages of manufacturing in almost zero gravity (‘micro-gravity’) are really as great as some have suggested. The most popular idea is to make super-powerful optical fibres that could carry far more data traffic than current transoceanic fibres can. They could potentially do so more cheaply because they would be simpler: they wouldn’t need repeater stations. Certainly, the demand is there, since there’s no limit to the number of cat videos we must share. These ‘ZBLAN’ optical fibres showed dramatic improvements when small amounts were made during brief, half-minute long intervals of weightlessness on a parabolic flight. There are a few companies already trying to make ZBLAN fibres on the ISS. The results must be promising because they went back after their first attempt. A kilogram of fancy optical fibres already sells for about $1 million to $20 million. That will pay for the postage and still give you change! Another idea is to 3D-print human organs in space. Why? Printing ears on Earth has been done, using a scaffolding that later dissolves away. But some organs are trickier, and scaffolds don’t always work. Without that support, the layers of cells tend to slip and slide out of position, which is not the desired effect for something meant to keep you alive. In micro-gravity, the slipping and sliding should be much smaller. The goal is eventually to be able to print a human heart. A heart weighs less than a kilogram. Even with packaging to keep it healthy, **the transport cost of bringing a new heart down to Earth is going to be far less than it’s worth to the recipient.**Again, first experiments toward this goal are underway on the ISS.

**Only asteroid mining can provide us with the research and understanding to prevent extinction**

**Elvis 21** [Martin Elvis is a senior astrophysicist at the Center for Astrophysics | Harvard & Smithsonian. He is the author of Asteroids: How Love, Fear, and Greed Will Determine Our Future in Space (2021). “Riches in space.” Aeon. July 2, 2021. <https://aeon.co/essays/asteroid-mining-could-pay-for-space-exploration-and-adventure>] HW AL

If knowledge or greed isn’t motivation enough to set your sights on the asteroids, then the one thing virtually all people agree on is that having humanity wiped off the face of Earth would be bad, at least for us. Of all the multiple threats to humanity’s existence, the only one that we can definitely eliminate is that of a large asteroid slamming into our home planet and killing us off, together with most other species, following the lead of the dinosaurs who were made extinct by an asteroid slamming into the ocean. There’s a T-shirt popular among space cadets that has the slogan ‘Asteroids are nature’s way of saying “How’s that space programme coming along?”’ If we can find all the killer asteroids, then we can divert them to render them harmless. Best to play it safe. There are several searches underway for undiscovered, potentially dangerous asteroids. Thanks to the first big survey, Spaceguard, 90 per cent of the dinosaur-killer-sized asteroids out there have already been found. None of them pose any danger for the next century at least. That still leaves an uneasily large number of about 100 extinction-event-sized rocks out there that we haven’t found yet. Smaller, city-killer asteroids are much less well-surveyed for. To remedy this concern, two new surveys will begin in the next few years, and they will both be more or less done by 2030. They are the Vera C Rubin Observatory ‘Legacy Survey of Space and Time’, which will start scanning the whole sky every few nights from 2023 onwards. Its mission has been complicated by the mushrooming constellations of thousands of internet satellites now being launched by several companies, with SpaceX being the most visible. Hopefully a solution will be found. The Vera C Rubin Observatory, on a mountain in Chile, will record its image using normal visible light. For asteroids, that light is reflected sunlight. But many asteroids are pitch black, reflecting only a few percent of the sunlight pouring on to their surfaces. How do you find those dark asteroids? The answer is to use the long wavelength – infrared – light they emit because they’re warm: their ‘black body radiation’. NASA is building a special mission just for this purpose. Developed by a team lead by Amy Mainzer, now of the University of Arizona, Tucson, it’s called the Near-Earth Object Surveillance Mission. Starting around 2025, it will scan the sky repeatedly for five years looking for moving objects that are bright in infrared light, and has wavelengths some 10 to 20 times longer than we can see with our eyes. The team’s tagline is ‘Finding Asteroids Before They Find Us.’ Good idea! This will be the first time that humanity has deliberately changed the orbit of any celestial body An advantage of using the black body radiation is that it also tells us quite accurately how big each asteroid is. That helps in assessing their threat, as well giving us a first guess at how much they might yield in resources. Combining the two surveys will indicate how much sunlight each asteroid reflects – its ‘albedo’ – and that’s a clue to what they’re made of. We want to know that because a metal asteroid of a given size is more dangerous than one made of rock, and is more difficult to push out of the way. The composition also helps us explore all two dozen types of asteroid out there, the better to decipher the history of our solar system. As a side product, the surveys will pin down their potential value. By 2030, we’ll have better rockets than we have today. Several are set to fly within five years. They’ll let us reach many more asteroids with more massive payloads to deflect them, study them or mine them. Also by 2030, several more asteroids will have been visited by our exploration spacecraft. JAXA, the Japanese space agency, and NASA each had recent missions to return samples from carbonaceous asteroids. The Japanese Hayabusa2 went to the spinning-top-shaped asteroid named Ryugu, and NASA’s OSIRIS-REx went to the asteroid called Bennu. Such carbonaceous asteroids are the least changed, we believe, from the time of their formation at the beginning of the solar system’s formation. They are called carbonaceous because they are chockfull of organic (carbon-containing) molecules; many of them also contain quite a lot of water. There are more missions planned to more distant asteroids such as Psyche, a metal asteroid in the Main Belt, and to the Trojan asteroids trailing Jupiter’s orbit. OSIRIS-REx samples and leaves asteroid Bennu. Courtesy of NASA **Every time we visit an asteroid, it surprises us.** Bennu was found to be throwing rocks off its surface as it spun around its axis, and when OSIRIS-REx put down its outstretched arm to grab a sample off the surface, the arm sank half a metre into the asteroid; it stopped going deeper only when the retrorockets fired to stop it. That’s really not how rubble behaves on Earth! The more we know about asteroids, the more confident we can be that we can deflect their path away from Earth. A NASA mission called DART will make a high-speed impact on the small moon of the asteroid Didymos in late 2022 to see if we can slow down a dangerous asteroid to stop it causing devastation on Earth. (Don’t worry: the target was chosen to be a safe one for us.) This will be the first time that humanity has deliberately changed the orbit of any celestial body. It isn’t likely to be the last. Once all the good-sized accessible asteroids have been found, their orbits mapped, their sizes known, and at least a good clue found as to what they’re made of, the barriers to mining them will be much lower. **After visiting a half dozen asteroids up close, we’ll have learned a great deal about their origins, how to deflect them should one be headed our way, and how to handle them.** That will put us in a good place to begin to extract their resources. I predict this will happen right around 2030, when demand for in-space materials should be picking up. **The stars seem to be aligning for mining the asteroids. Mining will expand our capabilities in space, especially making it easier to deflect a dangerous asteroid.**In a virtuous cycle, those new capabilities will lead us on to greater exploration of the many worlds in our solar system and, with bigger, better telescopes, to the Universe beyond. It should be fun.

**Capitalism is K2 the resilience of the economy— the pandemic is a prime example   
Irwin ‘20**

{Neil Irwin, November 14, 2020, Neil Irwin is a senior economics correspondent for The New York Times, where he writes for The Upshot, a Times site for analysis of politics, economics and more. Irwin was previously a columnist and reporter at The Washington Post, where he led the Post’s coverage of the global financial crisis and the government’s response to it. Irwin has an M.B.A. from Columbia University. “The Pandemic Is Showing Us How Capitalism Is Amazing, and Inadequate”, https://www.nytimes.com/2020/11/14/upshot/coronavirus-capitalism-vaccine.html, //NL}

It may seem like a trivial case of a company and an administration each claiming credit for some happy news. But it speaks to a deeper reality the pandemic has revealed — both what is amazing about capitalism, and how the free market alone comes up short in solving enormous problems. The nine months of the pandemic have shown that **in a modern state, capitalism can save the day** — **but only when the government** exercises its power to guide the economy and **act as the ultimate absorber of risk.** The lesson of Covid capitalism is that **big business needs big governmen**t, and vice versa. With astonishing nimbleness and speed, businesses in the United States and worldwide have accomplished remarkable feats — most notably the biotechnology and pharmaceutical companies trying to fight the virus. (The German drugmaker BioNTech developed the vaccine with Pfizer.) But the list includes other important achievements: keeping grocery store shelves stocked even as much of the capacity to process and distribute food was disrupted, and redeploying factories to make ventilators and personal protective equipment. In many cities, when a sudden rainstorm arrives, street vendors of cheap umbrellas will appear as if out of nowhere, driven not by some central authority but responding to the invisible hand of the marketplace. If you substitute masks for umbrellas, and substitute apparel companies for street hawkers, you have a fair description of the magic of the marketplace in 2020. But those **private-sector achievements** have been matched by efforts on a scale that only the public sector can manage — to**channel resources to** the **places most in need** and **to protect the economy from huge risk. Operation Warp Speed** has **used** a mix **of financial incentives to coax the private sector to invest** in vaccine development **on a scale and** at a **speed it couldn’t have on its own**. Congress enacted legislation to support millions of small businesses, many of which would have closed otherwise, and funneled money to ordinary Americans to help prevent a collapse in spending. The Federal Reserve announced it would stand ready to buy hundreds of billions of dollars in bonds and other assets, ensuring that large companies would have access to capital even amid a market collapse. Big business and big government both play vital roles in making the modern economy work. The pandemic has showed how these two can’t really be disentangled — they rely on each other more than partisans may care to acknowledge.

**Space exploration is what’s necessary to have any hope of solving global challenges**

**Marchant 21** (Nathalie is a highly-experienced journalist, editor and copywriter, who specialises in news, tech and travel but able to turn my hand to any subject. Having worked as a sub-editor for more than 15 years, I am also committed to both writing and editing accurate and engaging content – be it online or on the page. Based in the UK and raised in Austria. [https://www.weforum.org/agenda/2021/03/space-technology-tackle-climate-change/)//HWLND](https://www.weforum.org/agenda/2021/03/space-technology-tackle-climate-change/)/HWLND)

1. Frontier technologies to tackle climate change The arrival of frontier technologies such as Space 2.0 systems – along with other innovations including artificial intelligence (AI), 5G, the Internet of Things (IoT) and robotics **– offers further potential for supporting the fight against climate change.** Information and communication technologies could play a fundamental role in meeting the Paris Agreement’s target of limiting global warming to 1.5C, according to Frontier Technologies to protect the environment and tackle climate change, a joint report from the International Telecommunication Union and the United Nations. Among these are Space 2.0 technologies, which build on those developed during the first space age of 1957-2000. Space 1.0 resulted in technologies such as the Global Positioning System (GPS), which became widely adopted commercially. **The report says it is vital that satellite measurements continue, and get more advanced over time, so that changes to geological features such as ice sheets can be accurately monitored.**2. **Satellites to track weather patterns** One such example is NASA’s Ice, Cloud and land Elevation Satellite-2 (ICESat-2) spacecraft, which launched in 2018. ICESat-2’s predecessor showed the thinning of sea ice and how ice cover had disappeared from coastal parts of Greenland and Antarctica. NASA’s latest satellite was developed to provide extra information on how ice cover changes over the course of a year. Scientists hope that information from next-generation satellites such as ICESat2 – which takes measurements every 85 centimetres along its ground path – could improve forecasts for rising sea levels, as well as global weather and climate patterns. 3. **AI cameras to monitor wildlife crime Satellites have also been used in Africa to help prevent big game poachers from killing protected species. US nonprofit Resolve has worked with UK satellite provider Inmarsat to develop a Trailguard AI anti-poaching camera system that helps national parks detect, stop and locate poachers.**Testing at Tanzania’s Grumeti Reserve led to the arrest of 30 poachers and the seizure of more than 590 kilogrammes of bushmeat. 4. Sensors to track animals The International Co-operation for Animal Research Using Space (Icarus) initiative is using a satellite on the International Space Station (ISS) to create an “internet of animals”. Scientists hope to track the migratory patterns of birds and animals from space with the aid of thumbnail-sized transmitters attached to their backs. The data is then beamed to the ISS, where it is transmitted to a ground station. The resulting synopsis of animal life on Earth could later be used to transmit other environmental data. 5. **Satellite images transformed into data for farmers Satellite imagery and climate data can also support other sectors such as agriculture and industry, with additional benefits to the communities they serve.** Digital Earth Africa uses Open Data Cube and Amazon Web Services to make global satellite data more accessible and highlight how **it can be used to bridge social and economic inequalities. Such information can be used to help farmers improve agricultural yield, thereby reducing hunger; tackle unregulated mining and its knock-on effects; and identify new opportunities for economic growth.**

**Space exploration is k2 ending climate change**

**Derr 21** (Digital Communications Manager at Nuclear Energy Institute creative communicator, eagle-eyed researcher, and content strategist with a passion for community-building and human rights. Has experience developing communications campaigns, editing and writing short and long-form content, and leading social media published scholarly writer in the fields of art history and public policy deeply invested in social justice and devotes her free time to causes working towards alleviating hunger and povertyhttps://www.nei.org/news/2021/space-is-crucial-to-understanding-climate-change)//HWLND

**Space developments in the last two decades have greatly contributed to our understanding of our planet’s climate.** Satellite imaging, space exploration, and new technologies **give us an idea of the big picture and how we can adapt to address climate change.** For example, satellites in space **have played a critical role in our understanding of the causes of global warming**by providing us with a large body of data to examine the variations in the Earth’s orbit. Data from these capabilities were essential inputs into the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change’s (IPCC) recent report that focused on how the physical science of climate change informs likely impacts under five different emissions scenarios. The report also found that climate change is happening quicker than we thought, making the need to reduce emissions imminent. **To address this, space infrastructure such as positioning, navigation, and timing (PNT) can help identify efficient transportation routes and sources of emissions, ultimately aiding mitigation efforts.**