## Can’t Read Two FWs

#### A. Interpretation: The affirmative may only read one ethical framework in the AC. To clarify, the AFF may not claim that extinction comes first under ethical frameworks in combination with a reading a ROB.

#### B. Violation: They claim that extinction comes first and read another ROB.

#### C. Standards:

#### 1. Reciprocity – extinction first is a separate framework because the warrants for it are independent of the warrants for consequentialism with ROB and answers to one don’t indict the other. Reciprocity is key to fairness because it determines equal access to the ballot.

#### Vote on fairness – debate is a competitive activity governed by rules. Every action concedes the validity of fairness because you accept every arg to be evaluated fairly. Drop the arg is incoherent because it’s their advocacy so they must defend their model. No RVI’s – they shouldn’t win for being topical because it’s their job. Outweighs fairness claims – even if it would be reciprocal to let the aff read counter-plans it would be illogical. Prefer competing interps to reasonability – reasonability creates a race to the bottom with both debaters being as abusive as possible and collapses to competing interps because it uses an offense-defense paradigm.