## A. Interpretation

#### [Interp] The aff must defend only the appropriation of outer space by private entities is unjust.

## B. Violation

#### [Violation] They defend that a method of transpacific imagining – they are adding a method beyond a justice statement.

## **C. Net Benefits**

#### 1. Limits – extra-T means they can read affs that do the resolution and an INFINITE NUMBER of other things. They can defend the resolution AND end police violence, or affirm AND change other laws. Limits key to accessibility – without them, I can’t meaningfully contest the aff because I have no idea what it could be, so I’m shut out of the round. And disclosure doesn't solve – your telling me the aff is about Harry Potter doesn’t make it topical.

#### 2. Clash – we can’t critically contest the plan if you read an aff that goes beyond the topic, since you can just opt out of my disads or case turns by saying the extra parts of the aff outweigh them or take them out. Also skews my prep if I don’t know what other parts of the aff you’ll throw in besides the topic – that means I can’t effectively clash with you. Clash key to accessibility – without it, debate because dueling oratory instead of actual advocacy comparison, and one side can’t access the space.

**TVA – the topic with advantages about Asian people**

**SSD – read this as a K on the neg**

## D. Voter

Accessibility – that’s a prior question to fairness or education because it’s a question of whether people join and feel comfortable in debate in the first place.

#### [DTD] DROP THE DEBATER: they've already skewed the round – dropping the arg means kicking the aff – destroys debate with nothing to advocate for or against.

#### [No RVIs] NO RVIs:

**1.** CHILLING EFFECT: RVIs encourage debaters to be abusive and win on T, which destroys the purpose of it to check abuse.

**2.** RVIs make no sense on T. Just as you wouldn't win for reading a 6-minute aff, it's expected that you're T, so you can't win on it.

#### [Competing Interps] USE COMPETING INTERPS: T is a binary – you can't be “reasonably” T. Competing interps makes them justify the aff – drop them if they can't.