## 1st off – Mis-disclosure

Link - first, they said they were going to read the aff on the wiki and then they said they would read a different aff but might change it, then they said they were going to read the one on the wiki

The fact that they continuously told me different things made it impossible for me to prep a strat

THEY SENT ME NEW A NEW AFF LITERALLY 2 MINUTES BEFORE THE START TIME, and changed the original aff I was preparing for 13 minutes before - they sent it at 2:02

1. Fundamentally different affs that make different arguments
2. Opportunity hoarding - this is a reason to drop the debater. My ability to debate them was completely skewed since they consistently misdisclosed
3. They should be held to the aff they sent me –
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#### 1. [Rury & Rife] First, OPPORTUNITY HOARDING: they have full access to everything I’ve read because I’ve disclosed it, but I have NO idea what they’ve read. And they can’t say it was just my choice to disclose – they’re benefiting from it, which is EXCLUSIVE CAPITALISM.

Rury & Rife: Rury, John L. [Professor of Education and History, University of Kansas], and Aaron Tyler Rife [Assistant Professor, Wichita State University]. “Race, Schools, and Opportunity Hoarding: Evidence From a Post-War American Metropolis.” *History of Education*, *Journal of the History of Education Society*, Vol. 47, Issue 1, 2018. CH

Opportunity hoarding was originally articulated and defined by sociologist Charles Tilly. In his words, it represents a mechanism of social inequality that ‘operates when members of a categorically bounded network acquire access to a resource that is valuable, renewable, subject to monopoly, supportive of network activities, and enhanced by the network’s modus operandi’.4 4 Charles Tilly, Durable Inequality (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1998), 10. View all notes Such a resource could be an occupational designation, a residential area, an educational credential, a lifestyle classification, or other categories that convey distinction, exclude other groups and are subject to conditions described above. Consequently, the concept of opportunity hoarding is applicable to a range of social settings and circumstances, contributing advantages to members of both elites and non-elites who can restrict access to resources and opportunities to eligible participants. Tilly employs the term somewhat differently from others, however, and distinctions in its definition and use are important.

**TURNS CASE – THEIR PERFORMANCE ACTIVELY PROPS UP STRUCTURAL VIOLENCE/UNDERMINES LIBERATION STRATEGIES [OR: alternate link to framework]. INDEPENDENT REASON TO DROP THEM –** they’re not consistent with their own framework, and they don’t get to weigh substance against the K, since I question their ability to read it in the first place.