## 1st off – DA

## A. Link

#### [Link] The aff/neg hasn’t disclosed anything they’ve read – their Wiki is totally blank, with literally no information at all and they did not respond to my email. Screen shots in the doc.Graphical user interface, text, application, website Description automatically generatedGraphical user interface, text, application, email Description automatically generated

## B. Impacts

#### 1. [Rury & Rife] First, OPPORTUNITY HOARDING: they have full access to everything I’ve read because I’ve disclosed it, but I have NO idea what they’ve read. The fact that they asked me which aff I’m reading [OR: what my past NRs have been] shows that they KNOW I’ve disclosed and STILL refuse to give me anything. And they can’t say it was just my choice to disclose – they’re benefiting from it, which is EXCLUSIVE CAPITALISM.

Rury & Rife: Rury, John L. [Professor of Education and History, University of Kansas], and Aaron Tyler Rife [Assistant Professor, Wichita State University]. “Race, Schools, and Opportunity Hoarding: Evidence From a Post-War American Metropolis.” *History of Education*, *Journal of the History of Education Society*, Vol. 47, Issue 1, 2018. CH

Opportunity hoarding was originally articulated and defined by sociologist Charles Tilly. In his words, it represents a mechanism of social inequality that ‘operates when members of a categorically bounded network acquire access to a resource that is valuable, renewable, subject to monopoly, supportive of network activities, and enhanced by the network’s modus operandi’.4 4 Charles Tilly, Durable Inequality (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1998), 10. View all notes Such a resource could be an occupational designation, a residential area, an educational credential, a lifestyle classification, or other categories that convey distinction, exclude other groups and are subject to conditions described above. Consequently, the concept of opportunity hoarding is applicable to a range of social settings and circumstances, contributing advantages to members of both elites and non-elites who can restrict access to resources and opportunities to eligible participants. Tilly employs the term somewhat differently from others, however, and distinctions in its definition and use are important.

**TURNS CASE – THEIR PERFORMANCE ACTIVELY PROPS UP STRUCTURAL VIOLENCE/UNDERMINES LIBERATION STRATEGIES [OR: alternate link to framework]. INDEPENDENT REASON TO DROP THEM –** they’re not consistent with their own framework, and they don’t get to weigh substance against the K, since I question their ability to read it in the first place.