### Underview

#### The k outweighs it – it doesn’t come before the educational values of the k

### Setcol

#### Extend the k –the 1ac grounds their politics in a defense of indigenous dispossession and necessitates settler expansion and their knowledge in the material violence and erasure of indigenous peoples – kick the alt if you don’t think we’re winning it

#### Extend the Gutierrez evidence – its really good at explaining that current and past white philosophy has created colonialist ideologies and epistemologies – ones that justifies and authorizes colonial violence. There are 2 assumptions that narrate modern western philosophy:

#### Aspects of realty of uniquitously and invariably true – that privileges objectivity which Gutierrez explains is inevitably shaped by experience

#### They say: whos indigenous?? This doesn’t change any of our offense – like theres no implication of this – like if they are of lower socio economic status, theyd be even worse off if they were native – it doesn’t mean your reps aren’t bad

#### They say

### fwrk

#### Use a comparative worlds paradigm where the Affirmative must prove the plan is better than the status quo or a competitive policy option.

**Resolved denotes a proposal to be enacted by law**   
**Words and Phrases 1964** Permanent Edition   
Definition of the word “resolve,” given by Webster is “**to express an opinion or determination by resolution or vote; as ‘it was resolved by the legislature;**” It is of **similar** force **to the word “enact,”** which is **defined** by Bouvier **as** meaning “**to establish by law**”.

**Prefer our definition – affirm and negate aren’t words in the resolution, and they don’t even appear on the ballot**

#### Net benefits:

#### 1] Topic Education – Truth-testing moots topic education because it allows debaters to recycle generic arguments which deny the truth of everything. Outweighs other forms of education – we only have 2 months to debate the topic and can have discussions about other issues out of round.

#### 2] AC tests new strategies in a way that turns power against itself to change the rigid political culture. Prefer abductive methods – avoids dogmatism – key to coalitions of change

#### 3] Frameworks must be reflective, else they replicate stereotypes that are counter-productive to solutions. This requires a praxis, or a dual commitment to theory and practice

#### **4] Reciprocal burdens – proving a deductive argument is false only requires you win defense and proving an inductive argument is false is more difficult because of squo bias. Comparative worlds solves because it eschews the idea that either side unilaterally carries the burden of proof**