## 1

**Interp: The aff must disclose the plan text and the advantage 30 minutes before round**

**Violation:**

**They didn’t, they didn’t even put their contact info on the wiki**

**1] Evidence Ethics – Disclosure is the only way to verify that cards aren’t miscut or highlighted or bracketed unethically. That’s a voter – maintaining ethical ev practices is key to being good academics and we should be able to verify you didn’t cheat**

**2] Depth of clash – allows debaters to have specific researched objections to the 1AC evidence – that leads to better ev comparison – o/ws because thinking on your feet is non-unique; we still have to do that for responses and CX**

**3] Reciprocity – they get infinite pre-round prep to write the 1AC and we get none to research it**

**4] Education – a) their model incentivizes terrible “one-and-done” affs that are intellectually bankrupt and decrease education – proves they just want the ballot; b) o/ws claims of innovation because innovation is only valuable if the ideas are valuable.**

**Voters are education – it’s why schools fund debate – and fairness – that’s a threshold issue because otherwise you have no obligation to fairly evaluate their arguments**

**Paradigm issues**

**DTD**

**1] Actual abuse - I had to alter my strat to run theory**

**2] Deters future abuse – norm-setting**

**3] DTA is DTD – it’s the 1AC**

**4] At minimum if we’re winning any part of the shell they can’t weigh case; A] lack of preround prep means their truth claims are untested which you should presume them false; B] 1AR extensions look stronger than they really are b/c they kept me from cutting specific evidence to challenge their link chain – that’s a reason why new affs are bad, not why the 1AC is true –no “try or die” 2AR**

#### Competing interps: Reasonability is arbitrary and encourages judge intervention since there’s no clear norm.

#### No RVIs – a] illogical, you don’t win for proving that you meet the burden of being fair, logic outweighs since it’s a prerequisite for evaluating any other argument, b] RVIs incentivize baiting theory and prepping it out which leads to maximally abusive practices.

## 2

#### Interp: Debaters must provide at trigger warning before round

#### Violation: they didn’t

#### Standards – exempted

## Case